

ENVIRON

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION
PHASE 2: MIGRATION PATHWAY ASSESSMENT

Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Eagle Zinc Company Site Hillsboro, Illinois

Submitted to:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region V and Illinois Environmental Protection Agency

Submitted by:

ENVIRON International Corporation Deerfield, Illinois

On behalf of

Eagle Zinc Parties

November 2003

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION PHASE 2: MIGRATION PATHWAY ASSESSMENT

Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Eagle Zinc Company Site, Hillsboro, Illinois

Submitted To:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region V and Illinois Environmental Protection Agency

Submitted By:

ENVIRON International Corporation Deerfield, Illinois

On behalf of

Eagle Zinc Parties

November 2003

CONTENTS

				<u>Page</u>
I.	INT	RODUCTION		. 1
	A.	Purpose of Report		1
	B.	Report Organization		2
		110h 222 0 - Brazzania 2		_
II.	PHA	ASE 2 – MIGRATION PATHWAY ASSESSMENT		· 3
	A.	Site Surveying	•	3
	B.	Ground Water Investigation	•	3
		1. Piezometer Installation		4
		2. Monitoring Well Installation		6
		3. Temporary Monitoring Well Installation	• .	7
		4. Water Level Measurement		8
		5. Ground Water Sampling		9
	C.	Surface Water Investigation	•	10
	D.	Supplementary Residue Sampling		11
	E.	Soil pH Sampling		12
III.		SICAL CHARACTERITICS OF THE STUDY AREA		13
	Α.	Surface Features	•	13
	В.	Local Meteorology		13
		Surface Water Hydrology		14
		Site Geology		14
		Site Hydrogeology	·	14
	F.	Demography and Land Use		14
	G.	Ecology	•	15
IV.	NIAT	TURE AND EXTENT OF CONTAMINATION		16
1 V .	A.	Ground Water Investigation		16
	Λ.	1. Ground Water Flow		16
		2. Ground Water Analytical Results		16
				17
		710.0		17
		c. VOCs and SVOCs d. PCBs		16
				18
	D .	3. Conclusions		18
	B.	Surface Water Investigation	•	19
		1. Surface Water Analytical Results		19
		a. Metals		19
		b. Sulfate		20
		c. VOCs and SVOCs		20
		d. PCBs		20
		2 Discussions		20.

CONTENTS

(continued)

	applementary Residue Sampling I Soil Sampling	20 21
D. pr	1 bon bamping	
V. MODIF	TED SITE CONCEPTUAL MODEL	22
·	TABLES	
		•
Table II-1:	Ground Water Sampling Summary	
Table II-2:	Surface Water Sampling Summary	
Table II-3:	Residue Sampling Summary	
Table II-4:	Soil Sampling Summary	
Table II-5:	Monitoring Well, Piezometer and Water Level Survey Data	
Table IV-1A:	Ground Water Sample Results- Metals and Sulfate	
Table IV-1B:	Ground Water Sample Results- PCBs	
	Ground Water Sample Results- VOCs	
Table IV-1D:		
	Surface Water Sample Results- Metals and Sulfate	
	Surface Water Sample Results- PCBs	
	Surface Water Sample Results- VOCs	
	Surface Water Sample Results- SVOCs	
Table IV-3:	Residue Sample Results- TCLP Lead	
Table IV-4:	Soil Sample Results- pH	•
1401011 11	Son cample results pri	
	FIGURES	•
	TIGURES	
Figure II-1:	Monitoring Well and Piezometer Locations	
Figure II-1:	Surface Water Sample Locations	
Figure II-2:	Residue Pile Sample Locations (Phase 2)	
•	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Figure IV-1:	Ground Water Contour Map – March 17, 2003	
Figure IV-2:	Ground Water Contour Map – June 23, 2003	
Figure IV-3:	Ground Water Sample Results Above Screening Levels	•

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Piezometer and Monitoring Well Boring/Construction Logs

Figure IV-4: Surface Water Sample Results Above Screening Levels

Appendix B: Monitoring Well Sampling Details

<u>Page</u>

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Purpose of Report

This Technical Memorandum summarizes and evaluates the results of the Phase 2 Remedial Investigation (RI) conducted at the Eagle Zinc Company site (the "Site"), located in Hillsboro, Illinois. ENVIRON International Corporation (ENVIRON) has prepared this Technical Memorandum on behalf of the Eagle Zinc Parties (the "Parties") as part of the Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS) for the Site. The RI/FS is being completed pursuant to the Statement of Work (SOW) presented in the December 31, 2001 Administrative Order on Consent (AOC) between the Parties and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). All Phase 2 investigations were conducted in accordance with the following USEPA-approved documents: the AOC; the SOW; the July 2002 Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Work Plan (the "RI/FS Work Plan"); the March 2003 Technical Memorandum, Remedial Investigation, Phase 1: Source Characterization (the "Phase 1 Technical Memorandum"); and a May 30, 2003 letter proposal for supplementary sampling, which was conditionally approved by USEPA in a letter dated June 9, 2003.

As stated in the SOW and RI/FS Work Plan, the overall purpose of the RI is to investigate the Site's physical characteristics, identify sources of contamination, and determine the nature and extent of contamination at the Site. Consistent with the AOC governing the RI/FS, the RI has been designed to complement the prior investigations conducted at, and in the vicinity of the Site. The primary focus of the RI is to characterize the nature and extent of contamination at the site, to assess potential migration pathways by which the contaminants could impact human or ecological receptors, and to evaluate potential risks to those receptors. The RI includes two phases of investigation: Phase 1 (Source Characterization), and Phase 2 (Migration Pathway Assessment). The Phase 1 Technical Memorandum was submitted to USEPA and IEPA in March 2003. The results of both phases of the RI will be interpreted as a basis for performing a baseline risk assessment to establish the need for future remedial response activities for the Site.

The purpose of this Technical Memorandum is to summarize results obtained from the Phase 2 investigation, which involved the assessment of potential migration pathways, including ground water and surface water.

B. Report Organization

Section I describes the purpose and organization of this report. Section II of this report provides a summary of the work conducted as part of the Phase 2 RI. Section III describes the physical characteristics of the areas investigated during both Phases of the RI. Section IV presents the results of the Phase 2 RI. Section V presents an updated Site Conceptual Model. A detailed discussion of Site background information, including a description of the Site, the history of the Site, and a summary of previous investigations, was included in the RI/FS Work Plan and the March 2002 *Preliminary Site Evaluation Report* ("the PSE Report").

II. PHASE 2 – MIGRATION PATHWAY ASSESSMENT

The Phase 2 field activities were conducted at the Site between March 10, 2003 and March 19, 2003. In addition, supplementary sampling activities were conducted between June 19, 2003 and June 23, 2003. All field activities were conducted and/or supervised by ENVIRON. All piezometer installation, monitoring well installation, temporary well installation, well development, and residue pile test excavation activities were conducted by Philip Services, Inc. (Philip). All laboratory analyses were conducted by EnChem, Inc. (EnChem) of Green Bay, Wisconsin. Site surveying work was conducted by Hurst-Rosche Engineers, Inc. (Hurst-Rosche) of Hillsboro, Illinois. Tables II-1 through II-4 provides a summary of all investigative samples collected as part of Phase 2 of the RI.

A. Site Surveying

As discussed below, Hurst-Rosche surveyed the locations and elevations of the piezometers, monitoring wells, temporary monitoring wells, and a staff gage installed in the southwest pond. Based on field conditions (e.g., marshy conditions, steep terrain, etc.), some piezometer and monitoring well locations were adjusted from the proposed locations the minimum distance necessary to allow drill rig access (see Section B.1 below).

B. Ground Water Investigation

In accordance with the RI/FS Work Plan and certain augmentations to the Phase 2 program approved by the USEPA, the scope of the ground water investigation included:

Installation of six (6) permanent piezometers and four (4) temporary
piezometers at the approximate locations depicted on Figure VI-2 of the Phase 1
Technical Memorandum, with ground water elevations determined as discussed
in the RI/FS Work Plan. All piezometers were installed between March 10,
2003 and March 12, 2003

- Installation of eleven (11) additional permanent monitoring wells at the approximate locations depicted on Figure VI-2 of the Phase 1 Technical Memorandum. Monitoring wells MW1 through MW10 were installed between March 12, 2003 and March 15, 2003. Monitoring well MW11 was installed on June 19, 2003.
- Installation of three (3) temporary monitoring wells on off-Site properties, not owned by Eagle Zinc, located west of the southwest portion of the Site. These temporary wells were installed on June 19 and 20, 2003.
- Sampling of the newly installed and existing monitoring wells and off-Site temporary monitoring wells as discussed in the RI/FS Work Plan. With the exception of MW11, which was installed in June 2003, all on-Site permanent monitoring wells were sampled on March 18, 2003 and March 19, 2003. The three off-Site temporary monitoring wells and MW11 were sampled on June 20, 2003.
- Installation and surveying of a staff gauge in the southwest pond to determine the elevation of the pond surface water relative to ground water. The staff gauge was installed on March 10, 2003.

1. Piezometer Installation

Six (6) permanent and four (4) temporary piezometers were installed at the Site to provide a preliminary confirmation of the pattern of groundwater flow and to confirm locations for additional permanent monitoring wells. The piezometers were installed as close as possible to their proposed locations; however, physical limitations, such as steep terrain, marshy conditions and excessive vegetation, warranted deviations from five of the proposed locations:

 Permanent piezometer P-1 was installed approximately 90 feet south of its proposed location.

- Permanent piezometer P-2 was installed approximately 170 feet west of its proposed location.
- Permanent piezometer P-3 was installed approximately 90 feet south of its proposed location.
- Temporary piezometer P-9 was installed approximately 90 feet south of its proposed location.
- Temporary piezometer P-10 was installed approximately 280 feet southwest of its proposed location.

The surveyed locations of the piezometers are shown on Figure II-1.

The piezometers were designated P1 through P10, with the permanent piezometers numbered P1 through P6 and the temporary piezometers numbered P7 through P10. All of the piezometers were installed using a truck-mounted directpush drilling apparatus (i.e., Geoprobe). Two-inch outside diameter macro-core soil samples were collected continuously to an appropriate depth below the top of the saturated zone and soil boring logs were prepared by an ENVIRON geologist. All soil cores were screened for organic vapors at 6-inch intervals using a photoionization detector (PID). To construct each piezometer, a one-inch diameter section of PVC screen and riser pipe was placed in the core hole and a clean sand filter pack was placed around the PVC, generally to a depth of one to two feet above the top of the screen. The screen was placed so as to straddle the water table. A seal of granular bentonite was then placed in the annular space above the sand pack. The permanent piezometers were completed with stick-up type protective casings with locking caps. The temporary piezometers were completed with nonlocking PVC caps. Piezometer drilling and construction logs are provided in Appendix A.

Water level measurements were collected from all piezometers, as well as preexisting monitoring wells G101 through G109 and converted to water level elevations using surveyed benchmarks at the top of the piezometer casings. Preliminary ground water elevations determined from the piezometers and preexisting monitoring wells confirmed that the locations selected for the additional monitoring wells were appropriate for monitoring ground water quality downgradient of potential areas of concern for soils identified during the Phase 1 investigation.

Following the complete round of synoptic water level measurement conducted in March 2003 immediately prior to ground water sampling, the four temporary piezometers (P7 through P10) were abandoned by removing the PVC, returning the soil cores to the borehole, and sealing the remainder of the borehole with granular bentonite.

2. Monitoring Well Installation

Eleven (11) monitoring wells (MW1 through MW11) were installed at the Site using the hollow-stem auger drilling method. The surveyed locations of the monitoring wells are shown on Figure II-1. The following adjustments were made to the array of Site monitoring wells in the field:

- At the onset of the Phase 2 fieldwork, pre-existing monitoring well G108 was
 found to have been damaged and partially filled with rocks. This well was
 properly abandoned by Philip Services by removing the entire well, including
 the screen and riser, and sealing the remaining hole with bentonite.
- The location of the proposed monitoring well depicted on Figure VI-2 of the Phase 1 Technical Memorandum in the southwest corner of the Site was inaccessible, as the location depicted on this figure was within the steep ravine located between the southwest pond embankment and higher ground to the south of the Site. Therefore, this monitoring well (MW8) was installed on the pond embankment itself, as close as feasible to the proposed location (approximately 60 feet north of the proposed location). The selected location for MW8 is directly downgradient of the southwest pond and upper portions of the Western Drainageway. As MW8 was installed close to G108 and serves equally as a downgradient monitoring point, well G108 was not replaced with a new monitoring well.

• The location initially proposed for a new monitoring well near the on-Site drainageway leading into the pond at the southwest corner of the Site was inaccessible in both March 2003 and June 2003, as a broad area of standing water covered the proposed location. Therefore, this monitoring well (MW11) was installed in June 2003 at the closest accessible location, which was approximately 200 feet east of the proposed location.

Split-spoon samples were collected at five-foot intervals from the ground surface to the completion depth of the monitoring well and the samples were logged by the ENVIRON geologist. Following the completion of a 6-inch diameter borehole, 2-inch inside diameter sections of schedule-40 PVC screen and riser pipe were placed in the borehole and a clean sand filter pack was placed around the screened interval. The well screen was installed such that it straddled the water table. A bentonite seal was then placed in the well annulus and the monitoring well was completed with a stick-up type protective casing with a locking cap. Drilling and well construction logs for the monitoring wells are presented in Appendix A.

Each newly installed monitoring well was developed no sooner than 12 hours following well installation. In addition, to ensure adequate flow of ground water into the wells, pre-existing wells G101 through G109 were redeveloped. Well development consisted of the removal of a minimum of three times the measured casing volume of water plus three times the saturated volume of the monitoring well sand pack using dedicated polyethylene bailers. Well development was deemed complete when this volumetric criterion and a reasonably clear discharge was achieved.²

3. Temporary Monitoring Well Installation

As proposed in ENVIRON's May 30, 2003 letter to the USEPA and approved by the USEPA in a letter dated June 9, 2003, three (3) temporary monitoring wells were installed on off-Site properties on June 19 and 20, 2003 to provide

While noted in the RI/FS Work Plan, field parameters were not measured during well development.

¹ Prior to installation with the hollow-stem auger drilling rig, soils at monitoring wells MW01 and MW02 were first logged using a Geoprobe drilling apparatus (i.e., by collecting 4-foot long macro-core samplers).

supplementary ground water data in the area west of the southwest portion of the Site.

The temporary wells were designated TW5 through TW7 and were installed using a truck-mounted direct-push drilling apparatus (i.e., Geoprobe). Two-inch outside diameter macro-core soil samples were collected continuously to an appropriate depth below the top of the saturated zone and soil boring logs were prepared by an ENVIRON geologist. All soil cores were screened for organic vapors at 6-inch intervals using a photoionization detector (PID). To construct each temporary well, a one-inch diameter section of PVC screen and riser pipe was placed in the core hole and a clean sand filter pack was placed around the PVC, generally to a depth of one to two feet above the top of the screen. The screen was placed so as to straddle the water table. A seal of granular bentonite was then placed in the annular space above the sand pack. The temporary wells were completed with non-locking PVC caps. Drilling and construction logs for the temporary wells are provided in Appendix A. The temporary wells were developed using the procedures described above for the permanent monitoring wells. Field parameters were not measured during development of the temporary wells.

Following surveying and a complete round of synoptic water level measurement conducted on June 23, 2003, the temporary wells were abandoned by removing the PVC, returning the soil cores to the borehole, and sealing the remainder of the borehole with granular bentonite.

4. Water Level Measurement

On March 17, 2003, prior to initiation of ground water sampling, an electronic water level meter was used to measure the depth to ground water in each monitoring well and piezometer. The measurements were made to the nearest one hundredth (0.01) of a foot relative to a surveyed and marked location at the top of the well casing. In addition, the elevation of the southwest pond was determined using a surveyed staff gage. The calculated piezometer, monitoring well, staff gage, and water level elevations are summarized in Table II-5. The piezometric data were used to construct a Site-wide ground water contour map. A second

complete set of water level measurements was made on June 23, 2003, which included MW11 and the off-Site temporary wells. These data are also presented in Table II-5. Shallow ground water contour maps for the two measurement dates are presented as Figures IV-1 and IV-2, respectively.

5. Ground Water Sampling

Following the completion and development of the newly installed permanent and temporary monitoring wells, all pre-existing and newly installed wells were sampled for TAL metals and sulfate (with the exception of sulfate from MW11).³ In addition, four of the ground water samples (MW1, MW4, MW8, and G107) were analyzed for TCL organic compounds and PCBs. The metals analyses were conducted using both field-filtered and unfiltered samples to determine dissolved and total metals concentrations, respectively.

For the ground water sampling program conducted in March 2003, field duplicate samples were collected at locations where the full list of analyses (i.e., TAL Metals, sulfate, and TCL Organics) were performed and submitted to the laboratory for analysis of the same parameters at a rate of 1 out of 20.⁴ A minimum of 1 out of 20 samples were designated as a Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD) sample. Based on the number of ground water samples (19), during the ground water sampling program conducted in March 2003, one field duplicate sample was collected at MW1 and one MS/MSD was collected at MW1.

All three temporary wells and on-Site monitoring well MW11 were sampled on June 20, 2003. The sample collected from MW11 was designated as the MS/MSD and a field duplicate sample was collected from MW11.

A peristaltic pump was used to purge and sample all permanent and temporary monitoring wells. During well purging, measurements for field parameters (pH, specific conductance, temperature, and dissolved oxygen) were made. While

³ As proposed in ENVIRON's May 30, 2003 letter to USEPA, MW11 was sampled for TAL Metals only. In addition, monitoring wells MW-A, MW-B, MW-D and MW-E, which were installed and sampled pursuant to a UST compliance program under the oversight of IEPA, were not sampled. As proposed in ENVIRON's May 30, 2003 letter to USEPA, the three off-Site temporary wells were sampled for TAL Metals (dissolved and total). Additionally, monitoring well G-108 was found to be damaged and was subsequently abandoned.

⁴ The field duplicate sample for the VOC fraction of TCL Organics was inadvertently not analyzed by the laboratory. However, as discussed in Section IV.A.2.c, no VOCs were detected in any of the ground water samples.

purging, field parameters were monitored continuously using a flow-through sampling cell. Monitoring well purging was considered complete when a minimum of three times the measured casing volume had been removed, and the field-measured parameters of pH, specific conductance, and temperature had stabilized. Monitoring wells G-101, G-105, G-107, MW8, MW9 and MW10 and temporary wells TW5 and TW7 pumped dry before the volumetric criterion was reached. Following one or more pumping episodes in which these wells went dry, these wells were sampled once a sufficient amount of water had recharged in the well. Only wells G-107, TW5 and TW7 did not meet the volumetric purge criteria. Monitoring well sampling details are included in Appendix B.

Filtered and unfiltered ground water samples were collected for the TAL Metals analyses. Field filtering was conducted using dedicated 0.45-micron filters.

C. Surface Water Investigation

As described in the RI/FS Work Plan, each surface water sample was co-located with a Phase 1 sediment sample that was either: (1) located on, or downstream of the Site and exhibited elevated metals concentrations; or (2) represented an upstream location not expected to have been impacted by Site operations. Surface water samples were collected in both of the Site's two major surface water drainageways (i.e., upstream and downstream of storm water Outfalls 1 and 2⁵). As proposed in the Phase 1 Technical Memorandum, in March 2003, surface water samples were collected at a total of ten (10) locations: three (3) within the eastern drainageway; and seven (7) within the western drainageway (includes two samples from the southwest pond). Surface water samples were collected in both drainageways on March 10, 2003 and March 19, 2003. As proposed in ENVIRON's May 30, 2003 letter to USEPA and approved by USEPA in a letter dated June 9, 2003, three additional surface water samples were collected in the Western Drainageway on June 13, 2003.

On both sampling dates, sufficient surface water was present at each proposed location to allow for the collection of a surface water sample. The surface water samples were collected as grab samples by submerging the sample container with the open end

⁵ Outfall designations associated with the site's National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) storm water discharge permit (Permit No. IL0074519), which was terminated by IEPA on July 10, 2003 in response to the cessation of operations at the site.

facing upstream. For samples containing a preservative or fixing agent, the samples were collected using a laboratory-cleaned glass sample jar and immediately transferred to the proper sample container. Sample collection was performed in such a way that disturbance of bottom sediments was minimized during sample collection. In both drainageways, the sampling activities proceeded from downstream to upstream so that any disturbed sediment did not impact subsequent sampling.

All surface water samples collected in March 2003 were analyzed for TAL Metals and sulfate. In addition, as shown on Figure II-2, six (6) of the samples (SW-WD-7, SW-WD-9, SW-WD-10, SW-WD-PN, SW-ED-11, and SW-ED-13) were analyzed for TCL organic compounds and PCBs. Field duplicate samples were collected at locations where the full list of analyses were performed and submitted for laboratory analysis of the same parameters at a rate of 1 out of 20. A minimum of 1 out of 20 samples were designated as a Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD) sample. Based on the number of surface water samples (10), one field duplicate sample was collected at SW-WD-7 and one MS/MSD was collected at SW-WD-9.6

The three additional surface water samples collected on June 13, 2003 (SW-WD-6-061303, SW-WD-11, and SW-WD-12) were analyzed for TAL Metals. The sample collected on this date at SW-WD-6 was designated the MS/MSD and a field duplicate was collected at this location. The additional surface water samples were approved by USEPA a letter dated June 9, 2003.

D. Supplementary Residue Sampling

During Phase 1 of the RI, three of the 15 residue pipes/groups of piles (RR1-3, RR2-11 and MP1-21) had a Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP) lead concentration that exceeded the RCRA hazardous waste threshold of 5.0 mg/L. As proposed in the Phase 1 Technical Memorandum, these three residue piles/pile groups were further characterized by subdividing each pile/group into imaginary sections and collecting one gross composite sample from each section for laboratory analysis of TCLP lead. The purpose of the supplementary sampling was to better define sections of the piles that exceed the TCLP RCRA hazardous waste threshold value for lead of 5.0 mg/L.

⁶ The MSD sample for PCBs analysis was not analyzed as the bottle broke during shipment to the laboratory. However, as noted in Section IV.B.1.d, no PCBs were detected in any of the surface water samples.

The locations of the residue piles sampled and the pile sections represented by the composite samples are shown on Figure II-3.

Based on volumetric estimates and pile layout, each pile was divided into a number of equal sections. Eight (8) samples were collected from pile RR2-11, two (2) samples were collected from pile RR1-3, and three (3) samples were collected from the MP1-21 piles. Each sample was collected as a composite of three sample increments, and was collected either as depth composites or area composites.⁷ The sample compositing methodology was as discussed in the RI/FS Work Plan.

Each composite sample was analyzed for lead using the TCLP. A field duplicate was collected for sample R-RR1-3-S1D (rate of 1 out of every 20 samples). Sample R-MP1-21-S3 was designated the MS/MSD.

E. Soil pH Sampling

To determine the general range of Site-wide soil pH conditions, one soil sample was collected for laboratory soil pH analysis from each of the 20 soil borings completed for installation of the monitoring wells and piezometers. The majority of the soil pH samples were collected one foot below the depth at which undisturbed native soil was encountered.⁸

⁷ Similar to the sampling procedure employed during the Phase 1 residue sampling program, the depth composites were collected at three equally spaced depths within the pile by completing test trenches. Area composites, consisting of sample increments spaced evenly across the section to be sampled, were collected for lower, horizontally extensive piles.

At several locations, a slightly deeper interval was selected for collection of the pH sample, as the pH samples were collected from split-spoon samples that, in accordance with the RI/FS Work Plan, were taken from the monitoring well boreholes at 5-foot intervals. However, all pH samples are believed to be representative of the uppermost native soils encountered in the borings, which ranged in texture from silty clay to silty sand.

III. PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE STUDY AREA

The physical characteristics of the areas of the Site were discussed in detail in the March 2002 Preliminary Site Evaluation (PSE) Report, as well as the July 2002 RI/FS Work Plan. This information was assembled through inquiries made during completion of the PSE and from previous environmental reports concerning the Site. Information relevant to both phases of the RI is discussed below. No significant differences in the physical characteristics of the Site were observed during the Phase 2 investigations, as compared with previous investigation phases and Site inspections.

A. Surface Features

The Site's surface topography, storm water drainage, water bodies, and physiographic setting are described in detail in Section II.A.3.b of the RI/FS Work Plan. The historic plant residues are discussed in Section II.A.4 of the RI/FS Work Plan. A topographic survey map of the Site was included in Appendix A of the Phase 1 Technical Memorandum. All plant operations, including residue processing operations, ceased in late 2002 and early 2003. The thickness of surface residues encountered at each well/piezometer location is depicted on each soil boring log presented in Appendix A.

B. Local Meteorology

Meteorological data for the Site area are provided in Section II.A.3.a of the RI/FS Work Plan. In addition to the meteorological information presented in the RI/FS Work Plan, the Phase 1 Technical Memorandum included a wind rose diagram for the Springfield, Illinois airport, which is located approximately 30 miles north of the site, but is expected to display similar average wind directions. The wind rose diagram, which displays the dominant average wind directions and ranges of wind speed for the year 1987, indicates that the dominant wind direction is towards the north and north-northeast, with moderate frequency in other eastward directions, and the lowest frequencies in the westward directions. The prevailing northward wind direction is consistent with the generalized meteorological information provided in the RI/FS Work Plan.

C. Surface Water Hydrology

The surface water hydrology of the Site and surrounding area is described in detail in Section II.A.3.b of the RI/FS Work Plan. Additional information concerning surface water quality in the Site's drainage systems and the interaction between the southwestern pond and ground water was collected as part of the surface water and ground water investigations conducted during Phase 2 and is discussed further below. Surface water flow in the drainageways was greater during the Phase 2 investigations than previously observed, as the Site area had experienced significant rainfall during the winter and spring of 2003. The volumetric flow in the drainageways appears to vary significantly on a seasonal and perennial basis.

D. Site Geology

Information concerning the soils, overburden units (glacial deposits) and bedrock units that underlie the Site was presented in Section II.A.3.d of the RI/FS Work Plan. Field observations made during completion of the Phase 1 and Phase 2 soil borings indicate that the overburden unit within the depth intervals investigated generally consists of a sequence of interlayered brown to gray clay, silty clay, and sandy clay, and silty or clayey sand.

E. Site Hydrogeology

Information concerning the Site hydrogeology was presented in Section II.A.3.e of the RI/FS Work Plan. Ground water was measured in the monitoring wells at depths ranging from approximately 2 to 17 feet below ground surface (bgs).

F. Demography and Land Use

According to the 2000 census, approximately 2,800 people lived within a 1-mile radius of the Site and approximately 9,300 people lived within a 5-mile radius of the Site. Land use characteristics of the Site and surrounding area are described in Section II.A.2 of the RI/FS Work Plan.

G. Ecology

As discussed in the Phase 1 Technical Memorandum, an Ecological Risk Screening Evaluation is a component of the Baseline Risk Assessment task. As part of this evaluation, a preliminary site visit was conducted by Limno-Tech, Inc. (LTI) during implementation of the Phase 1 field activities. The objectives of the site visit were to: 1) identify on-site or relevant off-site habitats, ecological receptors, contaminant source(s) and contaminant(s) migration routes; and 2) assess to the extent possible whether a potential for present or future ecological impacts exists based on contaminants, receptor, and potential migration pathways. No additional activities associated with the Ecological Risk Screening Evaluation were conducted during Phase 2 of the RI and no conclusions concerning the ecology of the Site or adjacent areas have been made by LTI.

IV. NATURE AND EXTENT OF CONTAMINATION

A. Ground Water Investigation

1. Ground Water Flow

Using ground water levels measured in the monitoring wells and piezometers on March 17, 2003, a ground water contour map (Figure IV-1) was constructed, which shows the inferred pattern of shallow ground water flow across the Site. The shallow ground water flow pattern is consistent with the previous interpretation presented on Figure II-3 of the RI/FS Work Plan, in that it shows an inferred southward/southwestward ground water flow direction in the western and southwestern portions of the Site and an eastward/southeastward flow direction in eastern portions of the Site. These flow regimes are separated by a roughly northsouth trending ground water divide. Based on the existence of the divide, ground water in the northwestern most portion of the Site may locally exhibit a northward or northwestward flow component. However, based on the local topography, most if not all of the Site's ground water is believed to ultimately flow either southwestward (towards and parallel with the Western Drainageway) or eastward/southeastward (towards and parallel with the Eastern Drainageway). In all areas of the Site, the shallow ground water flow pattern generally reflects the surface topography.

A second ground water contour map was constructed using water level elevation data collected on June 23, 2003 and is included as Figure IV-2. Water level elevations determined from the temporary off-site monitoring wells were used to estimate the shallow ground water flow pattern in the area immediately west of the southwest portion of the Site. This contour map exhibits an inferred ground water flow pattern similar to that depicted on Figure IV-1, with continued westward flow in the area west of the southwest portion of the Site.

2. Ground Water Analytical Results

The analytical results for the ground water samples are summarized in Tables IV-1A through IV-1D. Since applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements

(ARARs) have not been established, in accordance with USEPA RI/FS guidance, the data were compared with Screening Levels to confirm/refine the Potential Areas of Concern (PAOCs) identified based on review of historical Site data during completion of the PSE. For the purpose of this evaluation, the Illinois Tiered Approach to Corrective Action Objectives (TACO; 35 IAC 742) ground water remediation objectives were used as Screening Levels. The Screening Levels are listed in Tables IV-1A through IV-1D. The Phase 2 laboratory data and data validation reports are submitted under separate cover. Ground water constituent concentrations that exceed the Screening Levels are summarized on Figure IV-3.

a. Metals

As shown on Figure IV-3, no total or dissolved metals concentrations exceeded the Screening Levels in monitoring wells G101, G103, G105, G106 and MW2, and only manganese exceeded the Screening Levels in wells G102 and MW5. A low concentration of total thallium exceeding the Screening Level was detected in MW1; however, thallium was not detected in a duplicate sample collected concurrently from MW1. Concentrations of a broader list of metals exceeded Screening Levels in dissolved and/or total metals samples in wells located in the southwest portion of the Site and in the temporary monitoring wells located west of the southwest portion of the Site.

b. Sulfate

Sulfate concentrations exceeded the Screening Level of 400 mg/L in six of the monitoring wells: G107 (920 mg/L); MW1 (530 mg/L); MW3 (730 mg/L); MW6 (900 mg/L); MW7 (720 mg/L); and MW9 (1,700 mg/L).

¹⁶ It is noted that the manganese concentrations detected in upgradient wells G102 and MW5 likely represent natural background conditions in the ground water.

-17-

⁹ The Illinois TACO ground water remediation objectives for both Class I and Class II ground water (35 IAC 742; Appendix B, Table 1) are presented for screening purposes, with concentrations exceeding the more stringent standards (Class I) shown in bold type.

c. VOCs and SVOCs

No VOCs were detected in any of the ground water samples. With only one exception, no SVOCs were detected in any of the ground water samples. The SVOC caprolactam was detected in G107 at an estimated concentration of 0.00295 mg/L and in MW4 at a concentration of 0.1 mg/L. According to USEPA's Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS), caprolactam is used in the manufacture of synthetic fibers, especially nylon, and is therefore not believed to have been used on-site for the historical manufacture/processing of zinc/zinc compounds or for any other purpose. Caprolactam does not have an Illinois TACO ground water remediation objective. However, as the USEPA Region 9 Preliminary Remediation Goal (PRG) for this compound in "tap water" is 18 mg/L, its occurrence at the Site does not appear to pose an unacceptable risk; this compound therefore has not been designated as a PCOC for ground water.

d. PCBs

No PCBs were detected in any of the ground water samples.

3. Discussion

Based on the ground water sampling results for dissolved metals samples, zinc, cadmium, iron, lead, manganese and thallium are designated as PCOCs for ground water. The highest dissolved metals concentrations in ground water were detected in MW7. MW7 was installed at a location immediately downgradient (west) of a predicted area of concern (AOC) for soils. This potential source area is depicted on Figures IV-3 and VI-2 of the Phase 1 Technical Memorandum.

As shown on Figure IV-3, an area including the southwestern portion of the Site and a small off-Site area south and west of the western Site boundary (wooded area on an industrial property) is designated as a PAOC for ground water.¹¹

¹¹ The non-toxic inorganic constituents iron, manganese and sulfate were not considered in the estimation of the ground water PAOC.

B. Surface Water Investigation

1. Surface Water Analytical Results

The analytical results for the surface water samples are summarized in Tables IV-2A through IV-2D. Again, since ARARs have not been established, in accordance with USEPA RI/FS guidance, the data were compared with Screening Levels to confirm/refine the PAOCs identified based on review of historical Site data during completion of the PSE. For the purpose of this evaluation, the Illinois Water Quality Standards: 35 IAC 302 Subpart B (General Water Quality Standards), and 35 IAC 302 Subpart D (Secondary Contact and Indigenous Aquatic Life Standards) were used as Screening Levels. The Screening Levels are listed in Tables IV-2A through IV-2D. The Phase 2 laboratory data and data validation reports are submitted under separate cover. Surface water constituent concentrations that exceed the Screening Levels are summarized on Figure IV-4.

a. Metals

With the exception of sample SW-ED-16, collected in the Eastern Drainageway near Lake Hillsboro, and samples SW-WD-11 and SW-WD-12 collected in the Western Drainageway, each surface water sample collected in both drainageways contained zinc concentrations that exceeded the Screening Level of 1 mg/L (ranged from 1.2 mg/L and 26 mg/L). In addition, samples SW-WD-PS, SW-WD-PN, and SW-WD-9 contained cadmium concentrations that exceed the Screening Level of 0.05 mg/L (ranged from 0.069 mg/L to 0.23 mg/L). Finally, samples SW-WD-8 and SW-WD-10 contained iron concentrations that exceeded the Screening Level of 2 mg/L (3.2 mg/L and 15 mg/L, respectively).

-19-

¹² It is noted that the zinc concentration detected at SW-ED-11 (1.2 mg/L) likely represents background surface water conditions in the Eastern Drainageway. The Eastern Drainageway originates at or near this offsite location, which exclusively receives runoff from a sports playing field located north of the Site (i.e., no surface water drainage from the Site occurs to this portion of the Drainageway).

b. Sulfate

None of the sulfate concentrations detected in the surface water samples exceeded the Screening Level of 500 mg/L. Sulfate concentrations ranged from 21 mg/L to 450 mg/L, with the highest concentrations detected in the Western Drainageway.

c. VOCs and SVOCs

No SVOCs were detected in any of the surface water samples. No VOCs were detected at concentrations exceeding their respective screening levels. The VOC cis-1,2-dichloroethene was detected in surface water samples SW-WD-9 and SW-WD-PN at concentrations of 0.002 mg.L and 0.022 mg/L, respectively. The VOC trichloroethene (TCE) was also detected in these two surface water samples at concentrations of 0.0063 mg/L and 0.0014 mg/L, respectively.

d. PCBs

No PCBs were detected in any of the surface water samples.

2. Discussion

Based on these results, cadmium, iron and zinc were identified as PCOCs for surface water in the Western Drainageway. Only zinc was identified as a PCOC for surface water in the Eastern Drainageway. With the exception of a portion of the Eastern Drainageway proximal to Lake Hillsboro, portions of both drainageways immediately downstream of the Site are considered PAOCs for surface water.

C. Supplementary Residue Sampling

The analytical results for the residue pile samples are summarized in Table IV-3. With the exception of one composite sample collected from residue Pile RR2-11, each composite sample had a TCLP lead concentration in excess of the RCRA hazardous waste threshold of 5.0 mg/L.¹³ The TCLP lead concentrations in Pile RR2-11 ranged

^{13 40} CFR 262.11.

from 2.2 mg/L to 18 mg/L. The TCLP lead concentrations in Pile RR1-3 ranged from 23 mg/L to 28 mg/L. The TCLP lead concentrations in Pile MP1-21 ranged from 18 mg/L to 230 mg/L.

Based on these results, TCLP lead continues to be considered a PCOC for the residues, and the piles designated RR1-3, RR2-11 and MP1-21 continue to be designated as PAOCs for residues.

D. pH Soil Sampling

The pH soil sampling results are summarized in Table IV-4. The soil pH values ranged from 4.3 to 7.9 Standard Units. These data will be used to assess pH dependent soil remedial objectives for the migration to ground water pathways in the Baseline Risk Assessment.

V. MODIFIED SITE CONCEPTUAL MODEL

Based on an evaluation of pre-existing site data presented in the PSE Report, affected environmental media, PCOCs, PAOCs, and potential exposure routes were identified as a preliminary Site Conceptual Model (SCM). Based on the Phase 2 sampling data for ground water and surface water, the SCM has been modified as follows:

PC	TENTIAL CONTA	MINANTS OF CO	NCERN (PCO	Cs)	
On-Site Soil	Sediment – Western Drainageway	Sediment – Eastern Drainageway alytical Fractions	Residues	Ground Water	Surface Water
TAL-	TAL-Metals	TAL-Metals	TCLP	TAL-	TAL-Metals
Metals			Metals	Metals	
Cadmium	Antimony	Antimony	TCLP-Lead	Cadmium	Cadmium
Lead	Arsenic	Arsenic		Lead	Chromium
Zinc	Beryllium	Beryllium		Manganese	Copper
	Cadmium	Cadmium		Thallium	Lead
	Lead	Lead		Zinc	Manganese
	Silver	Silver		Iron	Zinc
	Thallium	Thallium			Iron
	Zinc	Zinc			
	Organics	Organics		Other	Other
				Inorganics	Inorganics
	Vinyl Chloride	Vinyl Chloride		Sulfate	Sulfate
					Organics
					Cis 1,2-
					Dichloroethene
					Trichloroethene

As compared with the PCOC summary included in the Phase 1 Technical Memorandum, cadmium was added as a PCOC for surface water. No specific modifications to the PCOC summary included in the RI/FS Work Plan were made for any other media.¹⁴

¹⁴ Dissolved metals concentrations were used in determination of PCOCs for metals in ground water.

Based on exceedances of Screening Levels, the PAOCs for ground water and surface water are depicted on Figures IV-3 and IV-4, respectively, and are summarized as follows:

POTENTIAL AREAS OF CONCERN (PAOCs)						
On-site Soil	Sediment	Residues	Ground Water	Surface Water		
Area 1; Area 2; Area 3; Area 4 Western Area	Western Drainageway; Eastern Drainageway	RR1 Stockpiles; RR2 Stockpiles; MP Stockpiles	SW Part of Site and Off-Site Area Immediately Adjacent	Western Drainageway; Eastern Drainageway		

This summary table is identical to the PAOC summary provided in the Phase 1 Technical Memorandum, with the exception of the addition of a small off-Site area to the PAOC for ground water.


Based on a qualitative evaluation, the following potential on-Site and off-Site exposure routes have been identified:

	POTENTIAL EXPOSURE ROUTES							
	On-Site Soil	Residues	On-Site Sediments	Off-Site Sediments	On-Site Ground Water	Off-Site Ground Water	Surface Water	
Potentially Affected Population	Construction Worker; Employee; Tresspasser; Future Resident ¹⁵ ; Ecological Receptors	Construction Worker; Employee; Trespasser; Ecological Receptors	Construction Worker; Employee; Trespasser; Future Resident ¹⁵ ; Ecological Receptors	Resident; Ecological Receptors	Construction Worker; Employee; Future Resident ¹⁵	Resident	Construction Worker; Employee; Trespasser; Future Resident; Ecological Receptors	
Exposure Route(s)	Ingestion/ Inhalation; Soil Leaching to Ground Water; Potential Ecological Impacts	Ingestion/ Inhalation; Residue Leaching to Ground Water	Ingestion/ Inhalation; Soil Leaching to Ground Water	Ingestion/ Inhalation; Soil Leaching to Ground Water; Potential Ecological Impacts	Ingestion	Incidental Residential Exposure	Secondary Residential Exposure; Potential Ecological Impacts	

No specific modifications were made to the Potential Exposure Routes summary presented in the Phase 1 Technical Memorandum based on the Phase 2 RI data. As

¹⁵ This scenario is hypothetical, as residential development of the Site is not permitted under current zoning ordinances.

discussed in the RI/FS Work Plan, the Site Conceptual Model will be modified and supplemented as necessary during the course of the RI/FS, as the data are evaluated.



TABLES

Table II - 1: Groundwater Sampling Summary
Eagle Zinc Company Site, Hillsboro, Illinois

Well I.D.	Total Well Depth (ft. bgs)	Well Screen Interval (ft. bgs)	Lab Sample ID	Lab Analyses
	Total Well Depth (It. bgs)	wen screen interval (it. bgs)	Day Sample 1D	TAL Metals
		·		Sulfate
				TCL VOC/SVOC
MW1	15	5 - 15	MW1-030319	PCB
	1			TAL Metals
MW2	15	5 - 15	MW2-030318	Sulfate
				TAL Metals
MW3	16	6 - 16	MW3-030318	Sulfate TAL Metals
				Sulfate
	·			TCL VOC/SVOC
MW4	11	4 - 11	MW4-030318	PCB
				TAL Metals
MW5	16	6 - 16	MW5-030318	Sulfate
		·		TAL Metals
MW6	15	5 - 15	MW6-030318	Sulfate
	1	6.16	14327 020210	TAL Metals
MW7	16	6 - 16	MW7-030318	Sulfate TAL Metals
	· ·		·	Sulfate
	ł			TCL VOC/SVOC
MW8	26	16 - 26	MW8-030319	PCB
				TAL Metals
MW9	21	11 - 21	MW9-030319	Sulfate
				TAL Metals
MW10	16	6-16	MW10-030318	Sulfate
MW11	12	6-12	MW11-030620	TAL Metals
		V.2	1111111 050020	TAL Metals
. G101	18	8 - 18	G101-030318	Sulfate
				TAL Metals
G102	17.5	7.5 - 17.5	G102-030318	Sulfate
				TAL Metals
G103	17	7 - 17	G103-030319	Sulfate TAL Metals
G104	17.5	7.5 - 17.5	G104-030318	Sulfate
	17.5	7.5 - 17.5	0104-030318	TAL Metals
G105	18	8 - 18	G105-030318	Sulfate
				TAL Metals
G106	18	8 - 18	G106-030319	Sulfate
	·			TAL Metals
		·		Sulfate
	10	0.10	0105.0000	TCL VOC/SVOC
G107	18	8 - 18	G107-030319	PCB TAL Metals
G109	16.5	6.5 - 16.5	G109-030318	Sulfate
0107	10.5	0.3 - 10.3	0107-030316	Dullaic
TW5	14	5-14	TW5-030620	TAL Metals
·				
TW6	30	24-30	TW6-030620	TAL Metals
TW7	20	11-20	TW7-030620	TAL Metals

Table II-2: Surface Water Sampling Summary Eagle Zinc Company Site, Hillsboro, Illinois

Lab Sample		Background	
ID T	Drainageway	Sample	Lab Analyses
			TAL Metals
SW-WD-6-030313	Western	No	Sulfate
SW-WD-6-030613	Western	No	TAL Metals
SW-WD-6D	Western	No	TAL Metals
			TAL Metals
ŀ			Sulfate
		٠	TCL VOC/SVOC
SW-WD-7	Western	No	PCB
			TAL Metals
			Sulfate
			TCL VOC/SVOC
SW-WD-7D	Western	No	PCB
			TAL Metals
SW-WD-8	Western	No ·	Sulfate
			TAL Metals
			Sulfate
1			TCL VOC/SVOC
SW-WD-9	Western	No	PCB
			TAL Metals
			Sulfate
]			TCL VOC/SVOC
SW-WD-10	Western	Yes	PCB
	•	•	TAL Metals
l			Sulfate
			TCL VOC/SVOC
SW-WD-PN	Western	No	PCB
ŀ			TAL Metals
SW-WD-PS	Western	No	Sulfate
SW-WD-11	Western	No	TAL Metals
SW-WD-12	Western	No	TAL Metals
		,	TAL Metals
]			Sulfate
			TCL VOC/SVOC
SW-ED-11	Eastern	Yes	PCB
			TAL Metals
SW-ED-13	Eastern	No	Sulfate
		•	TAL Metals
SW-ED-16	Eastern	No	Sulfate

Table II-3: Residue Sampling Summary
Eagle Zinc Company Site, Hillsboro, Illinois

		Pile Increment Volume Estimate		
Lab Sample ID	Residue Pile ID	(cu. yds.)	Lab Analyses	Comments
RR2-11-S1	RR2-11	1,140	TCLP Lead	
RR2-11-S2	RR2-11	1,140	TCLP Lead	
RR2-11-S3	RR2-11	1,140	TCLP Lead	
RR2-11-S4	RR2-11	1,140	TCLP Lead	
RR2-11-S5	RR2-11	1,140	TCLP Lead	
RR2-11-S6	RR2-11	1,140	TCLP Lead	
RR2-11-S7	RR2-11	1,140	TCLP Lead	
RR1-3-S1	RR1-3	365	TCLP Lead	
RR1-3-S1D	RR1-3	365	TCLP Lead	Field Duplicate
RR1-3-S2	RR1-3	365	TCLP Lead	
MP1-21-S1	MP1-21	165	TCLP Lead	
MP1-21-S2	MP1-21	165	TCLP Lead	
MP1-21-S3	MP1-21	165	TCLP Lead	MS/MSD

Table II-4: Soil Sampling Summary
Eagle Zinc Company Site, Hillsboro, Illinois

Soil Boring	Sample Depth (ft. bgs)	Lab Sample ID	Lab Analysis
MW 1	2	MW1-030312	pН
MW 2	3	MW2-030312	pН
MW 3	3.5	MW3-030313	pН
MW 4	4	MW4-030313	pН
MW 5	2	MW5-030313	pН
MW 6	9	MW6-030314	pН
. MW 7	5	MW7-030314	pН
MW 8	25	MW8-030314	pН
MW 9	10	MW9-030314	pН
MW 10	1	MW10-030315	pН
Pi	1	P01-030310	pН
P2	1	P02-030310	рН
P3	1	P03-030311	pН
P4	. 1	P04-030311	pН
P5	1	P05-030311	pН
P6	1.5	P06-030311	pН
P7	2.5	P07-030311	pН
P8	2	P08-030311	pH_
P9	1	P09-030311	pН
P10	1	P10-030312	pН

Table II-5
Monitoring Well, Piezometer and Water Level Survey Data
Eagle Zinc Company Site, Hillsboro, Illinois

				March	17, 2003	June 2	3, 2003
	•				Ground		Ground
			Top of Inside	Depth to	Water	Depth to	Water
Location	Northing	Easting	Casing	Water	Elevation	Water	Elevation
P01	909769.47	696429.67	624.86	6.23	618.63	6.92	617.94
P02	908922.35	696671.8	628.7	5.54	623.16	6.85	621.85
P03	910294.63	695668.46	631.01	3.37	627.64	4.27	626.74
P04 ·	910737.09	696416.64	635.07	9.11	625.96	8.04	627.03
P05	910741.97	694854.52	633.47	8.38	625.09	7.88	625.59
P06	909073.39	696334.46	631.88	6.62	625.26	_4	4
P07	909634.55	695797.08	634.03	5.83	628.20	2	2
P08	908438.42	695255.29	628.1	3.18	624.92	2	'²
P09.	908260.03	694859.46	621.95	8.59	613.36	2	 ²
P10	908367.28	694138.91	623.57	12.2	611.37	2	2
MW1	909111.31	696050.95	632.5	3.31	629.19	2.72	629.78
MW2	910179.63	695508.42	633.99	5.48	628.51	5.77	628.22
MW3	909213.9	695378.5	634.82	10.38	624.44	10.85	623.97
MW4	909502.43	695384.86	630.42	4.9	625.52	5.85	624.57
MW5	910118.49	695067.48	637.97	10.08	627.89	8.65	629.32
MW6	908645.67	695314.88	629.13	6.07	623.06	6.12	623.01
MW7	908075.51	695038.92	626.69	7.15	619.54	7.82	618.87
MW8	908047.37	694020.01	614.6	19.03	595.57	19.91	594.69
MW9	908186.26	694372.83	615.1	13.86	601.24	13.4	601.70
MW10	908466.61	693997.88	623.9	7.23	616.67	7.78	616.12
MW11	908485.95	694948.08	622.05	3	3 ·	6.95	615.10
SW Pond	908163.9	694291.2	601.94	1.39	600.55	1.49	600.45
G101	910111.8	694808.8	638.91	11.6	627.31	9.52	629.39
G102	910800.6	695538.9	630.4	3.73	626.67	5.51	624.89
G103	910112	696047.1	631.34	5.85	625.49	5.85	625.49
G104	909435.6	696494.1	632.34	8.6	623.74	8.71	623.63
G105	908439.2	696592.7	627.97	3.82	624.15	5.13	622.84
G106	908209.9	695855.8	629.63	4.79	624.84	4.91	624.72
G107	907962.3	694538.9	607.7	4.47	603.23	5.42	602.28
G109		. -	632.92	4.82	628.10	5.04	627.88
TW5	907552.08	694119.43	615.95	1	1	6.71	609.24
TW6	907911.71	693438.11	612.77	'	1	21.57	591.20
TW7	908212.54	692426.72	582.25	1 .	1	15.36	566.89

¹ Temporary wells installed in June 2003

² Temporary piezometers abandoned after the March 2003 sampling activities

³ MW11 installed in June 2003

⁴ Water level in piezometer P6 inadvertently not measured on June 23, 2003



Taure IV-1A Groundwater Sample Results, Metals and Sulfates Eagle Zinc Company Site, Hillsboro, IL

Parameter	Aluminum	Antimony	Arsenic	Barium	Beryllium	Cadmium	Calcium	Chromium	Cobalt	Copper	Iron	Lead	Magnesium	Manganeese
Screening Levels mg/L														
Class I TACO Standard		0.006	0.05	2	0.004	0.005		0.1	1	0.65	5	0.0075		0.15
Class II TACO Standard	-	0.024	0.2	2	0.5	0.05		1	1	0.65	5	0.1		10
Eagle Zinc Company Site Data (mg/L)	1													
Field ID Matrix Collection Date										m.				
G101 GW 3/18/2003	1.6	0.0025 U	0.0081 U	0.032	0.00097 U	0.00053 U	30 J		0.00092 U				17	0.055
G101F GW 3/18/2003	0.027 U	0.0025 U	0.0081 U	0.024	0.00061 U	0.00053 U	27 J		0.00092 U		0.019 U		16	0.0014
G102 GW 3/18/2003	0.082 J	0.0025 U	0.0081 U	0.073	0.00093 U	0.00053 U	99 J		0.00092 U	0.0009 U			44	0.29
G-102F GW 3/18/2003	0.027 U	0.0025 U	0.0081 U	0.076 U	0.00061 U	0.00053 U	100 J		0.00092 U		0.019 U		47	0.29
G-103 GW 3/19/2003	0.17 J	0.0025 J	0.0081 U	0.038 U	0.00083 U	0.00053 U	150 J		0.00092 U		0.28 J		68	0.016
G-103F GW 3/19/2003	0.031 J	0.0025 U	0.0081 U	0.04	0.00061 U	0.00053 U	170 J		0.00092 U		0.019 U		78	0.012
G-104 GW 3/18/2003	53	0.0056 J	0.0045	0.37	0.0036	0.00053 U	190 J		0.0028	0.087 J		0.079 J	93	2.2
G-104F GW 3/18/2003	0.029 J	0.0025 U	0.0081 U	0.021	0.00061 U	0.00053 U	180 J		0.00092 U		0.019 U		73	0.018
G-105 GW 3/18/2003	0.54 0.027 U	0.0025 U	0.0081 U	0.092	0.00093 J	0.00053 U	120 J		0.00092 U	0.0009 U		0.0013 U	41	0.086
G-105F GW 3/18/2003	0.027 0 1	0.0025 U			0.0001 U				0.00092 U		0.019 U		48	0.086
G-106 GW 3/19/2003	0.027 U	0.0025 U	0.0081 U	0.019	0.0001 U	0.00053 U	120 J	0.00093 U	0.00092 U	0.0009 U	0.48 J		53	0.0025
G-106F GW 3/19/2003	0.027 0 1	0.0025 U	0.0081 U	0.017	0.00061 U		320 J						67	
G-107 GW 3/19/2003 G-107F GW 3/19/2003	0.03 J	0.0025 U	0.0081 U	0.064	0.00061 U	0.061 J	330 J	0.0015 J 0.00093 U	0.065 0.056 U	0.01 J 0.0009 U		0.0061 J	70	1.1
G-109 GW 3/18/2003	110	0.0025 U	0.0081 0	1.2	0.008	0.00053 U	42 J	0.00093 0	0.079	0.22 U			34	8.1
G-109 GW 3/18/2003	0.027 U	0.0025 U	0.0081 U	0.015	0.00061 U	0.00053 U	17 J	-	0.00092 U		0.019 U		8.4	0.016
MW-1 GW 3/19/2003	1.5	0.0025 U I	0.0081 U	0.013	0.0001 J	0.00053 U I	130		0.00092 U	0.0003 U		0.0013	69	0.018
MW-1F GW 3/19/2003	0.044 J	0.0028 J	0.0081 U	0.029	0.00061 U	0.00053 U	140		0.00092 U		0.029 J		78	0.072
MW-1P GW 3/19/2003	1.6	0.0025 U	0.0081 U	0.023	0.0011 J	0.00053 U	140		0.00092 U	0.0012 J		0.0013 U	75	0.018
MW-1DF GW 3/19/2003	0.03 J	0.0025 U I	0.0081 U I	0.029	0.00061 U	0.00053 U I	140		0.00092 U		0.019 U		80	0.017
MW-2 GW 3/18/2003	0.67	0.0025 U I	0.0081 U	0.044	0.00094 U	0.0053 J	120 J	0.00093 U		0.0099 J	1.1 J		49	0.053
MW-2F GW 3/18/2003	0.027 U	0.0025 U I	0.0081 U	0.048	0.00061 U	0.0054 J	120 J		0.0025 J		0.019 U		53	0.056
MW-3 GW 3/18/2003	0.027 U	0.0025 U	0.0081 U I	0.014 U	0.00098 U	0.00053 U	220 J	0.00093 U		0.0009 U			89	0.061
MW-3F GW 3/18/2003	0.027 U I	0.0025 U	0.0081 U I	0.015	0.00061 U I	0.00053 U I	240 J	0.00093 U	0.0023 J		0.019 U		96	0.058
MW-4 GW 3/18/2003	37	0.01 J l	0.017 J	0.29	0.0039	0.082 J	25 J	0.09	0.039	0.95	49 J		13	1.4
MW-4F GW 3/18/2003	0.027 J	0.0025 U	0.0081 U	0.042	0.00061 U	0.00071 J	54 J	0.013 J	0.002 J	0.0009 U	0.019 U		22	0.78
MW-5 GW 3/18/2003	1.2	0.0025 U I	0.0081 U I	0.023	0.00093 U	0.00053 U I	64 J	0.0016 J	0.0013 J	0.0009 U			29	0.15
MW-5F GW 3/18/2003	0.027 U	0.0025 U	0.0081 U	0.02	0.00061 U	0.00053 U	70 3	0.0013 J	0.0015 J	0.0009 U	0.019 U	0.0013 U	34	0.17
MW-6 GW 3/18/2003	0.3	0.0025 U	0.0081 U T	0.013	0.00097 U	0.086 J	250 J	0.00093 U	0.0039	0.0021 J	0.57 J	0.0096 J	82	0.87
MW-6F GW 3/18/2003	0.03 J	0.0025 U	0.0081 U	0.012	0.00061 U	0.079 J	270 J	0.00093 U	0.0034	0.0009 U	0.019 U	0.0013 U	93	0.94
MW-7 GW 3/18/2003	0.027 U	0.0025 U	0.0081 U	0.012	0.00079 J	0.39 J	160 J	0.0012 J	0.048	0.001 J	0.05 J	0.0013 U	43	12
MW-7F GW 3/18/2003	0.034 J	0.0025 U	0.0081 U	0.014	0.00061 U	0.33 J	180 J	0.0018 J	0.044	0.0009 U	0.019 U	0.0013 U	48	13
MW-8 GW 3/19/2000	0.19 J	0.0025 U	0.0081 U	0.028	0.00011 U	0.031 J	130 J	0.00093 U	0.0011 J	0.0099 J	1.5 J	0.13 J	23	0.0044
MW-8F GW 3/19/2000	0.028 J	0.0025 U	0.0081 U	0.021	0.00061 U	0.025 J	140 J	0.0014 J	0.002 J	0.002 J	0.045 J	0.018 J	25	0.0032
MW-9 GW 3/19/2000	0.033 J	0.0025 U	0.0081 U	0.022	0.00093 U	0.00073 J	320 J	0.00093 U	0.0029 J	0.0009 U	0.19 J	0.0034 J	290	0.92
MW-9F GW 3/19/2000	0.028 J	0.0025 U	0.0081 U	0.025	0.00061 U	0.00091 J	360 J	0.00093 U	0.003 U	0.0009 U	0.019 U	0.0013 U	340	
MW-10 GW 3/18/2003	69	0.0028 J	0.058	0.36	0.0066	0.00053 U	81 J	0.16	0.058	0.13	130 J	0.08 J	46	2.8
MW-10F GW 3/18/2003	0.23	0.0025 U	0.0081 U	0.011	0.00061 U	0.00053 U	9.6 J		0.00092 U	0.0009 U		0.0013 U	3.9	0.014
MW-11 GW 6/20/2003	0.0047	0.00043 J	0.00071 J	0.032	0.0001 U	0.00095 J	98	0.00056 U		0.0017 U		0.00082 U	56 J	0.34
MW-11F GW 6/20/2003	0.0029	0.00032 J	0.00069 J	0.031	0.00007 J	0.00085 J	110	0.0008 J		0.0012 J	0.25 J	0.0011 J	52 J	0.42
MW-11D GW 6/20/2003	1.8 J	0.00032 J	0.0021 J	0.09	0.00013 J	0.002 J	100 J	0.0046	0.0047	0.019	4.6	0.11	48 J	0.44
MW-11DF GW 6/20/2003	0.014 J	0.00033 J	0.00054 J	0.033	0.00005 U	0.00098 J	110	0.00092 J	0.006			0.00076 J	43 J	0.42
TW-5 GW 6/20/2003	12 J	0.00035 J	0.0051	0.11	0.00067 J	0.00035 J	200 J	0.025	0.01	0.025	17	0.017	100 J	1.3
TW-5F GW 6/20/2003	0.15 U	0.0005 J		0.019	0.00009 J	0.00019 J	210	0.0011 J		0.002 J		0.00027 J	83 J	L. Zaneting trains
TW-6 GW 6/20/2003	33 J	0.0015 J	0.04	0.39	0.0035	0.0031 J	71 J	0.087	0.059	0.11	81	0.092	27 J	4.5
TW-6F GW 6/20/2003	0,15 U	0.00053 J	0.0021 J	0.061	0.00011 J	0.00023 J	110	0.0014 J	0.011	0.00098 J		0.00022 J	28 J	2,8
TW-7 GW 6/20/2003	17 J	0.00039 J	0.0047	0.36	0.00086 J	0.00057 J	120 J	0.023	0.0096	0.019	22	0.019	16 J	1.5
TW-7F GW 6/20/2003	0.021 J	0.00037 J	0.00055 J	0.2	0.00008 J	0.00022 J	150	0.0014 J	0.0037	0.00084 J	0.51 J	0.00019 J	14 J	1.4

Exceeds Illinois TACO Standards for Class I Groundwater Detection Limit above Screening Level

A = Analyte was detected in the method blank
B = Analyte was detected between the method detection limit and the reporting limit
C = Elevated detection limit due to matrix effect
J = Estimated Value
U = Indicates undetected at concentration listed
NS = Not sampled
F = Filtered Sample

Taure IV-1A
Groundwater Sample Results, Metals and Sulfates
Eagle Zinc Company Site, Hillsboro, IL

	Parameter	Mercury	Nickel	Potassium	Selenium	Silver	Sodium	Sulfate	Thallium	Vanadium	Z
Scree	ening Levels mg/L										
	I TACO Standard	0.002	0.1		0.05	0.05		400	0.002	0.049	
	II TACO Standard	0.01	2	-	0.05	-		400	0.02		
	le Zinc Company Site Data (mg/L) Matrix Collection Date	13.9									
Field ID G101	Matrix Collection Date GW 3/18/2003	0.000028 U	0.0032 J	0.68	0.0048 U I	0.0011 U	110	38	0.0043 U	0.0036 J	La
G101F	GW 3/18/2003	0.000028 U		0.33	0.0048 U	0.0011 U	110	NS	0.0043 U	0.0038 J	0.
G101F	GW 3/18/2003	0.000028 U		0.93	0.0048 U I	0.0011 U	85	280	0.0043 U	0.00088 J	
G-102F	GW 3/18/2003	0.000028 U	0.0029 J	1.1	0.0048 U	0.0011 U	87	NS	0.0043 U	0.00084 U	
G-102F	GW 3/19/2003	0.000028 U	-	0.19	0.0048 U		85	320	0.0043 U	0.00084 U	_
G-103 G-103F	GW 3/19/2003	0.000028 U	0.0013 J	0.19	0.0048 U	0.0011 U I	89	NS NS	0.0043 U	0.00084 U	10
	GW 3/18/2003					0.0011 U	120	270	0.0043 U		10
G-104 G-104F	GW 3/18/2003	0.00024 0.000028 U	0.076 0.0012 U	6	0.0077 J	0.0011 U I	130	NS NS	0.0043 U	0.11 0.00084 U	+
	1			1.4							
G-105	GW 3/18/2003	0.000028 U		0.49	0.0048 U	0.0011 U	27	250	0.0043 U	0.0018 J	0.
G-105F	GW 3/18/2003	0.000028 U	0.0012 U	0.61	0.0048 U	0.0011 U	29	NS	0.0043 U	0.00084 U	10
G-106	GW 3/19/2003	0.000028 U		0.38	0.0048 U	0.0011 U	98	380	0.0043 U	0.00086 J	
G-106F	GW 3/19/2003	0.000028 U		0.32	0.0048 U	0.0011 U	100	NS	0.0043 U	0.00084 U	0
G-107	GW 3/19/2003	0.000086 J	0.017 J	6.8	0.0048 U	0.0011 U	48	920	0.0043 U	0.0011 J	
G-107F	GW 3/19/2003	0.000028 U		7.3	0.0048 U	0.0011 U	43	NS	0.0043 U	0.00084 U	
G-109	GW 3/18/2003	0.00045	0.23	8.3	0.011 J	0.0011 U	36	53	0.0043 U	0.2	L
G-109F	GW 3/18/2003	0.000028 U		0.31	0.0048 U	0.0011 U	44	NS	0.0043 U	0.00084 U	10.
MW-1	GW 3/19/2003	0.000028 U		0.67	0.0048 U	0.0011 U	69	530	0.0043 J	0.0023 J	_
MW-1F	GW 3/19/2003	0.000028 U		0.34	0.0048 U	0.0011 U	79	NS	0.0043 U	0.00084 U	1
MW-1D	GW 3/19/2003	0.000028 U	0.0043 J	0.7	0.0096 U	0.0011 U	80	530	0.0043 U	0.0029 J	L
MW-1DF	GW 3/19/2003	0.000028 U		0.36	0.0048 U	0.0011 U	80	NS	0.0043 U	0.00084 U	
MW-2	GW 3/18/2003	0.000028 U	0.0044 J	0.79	0.0048 U	0.0011 U	83	350	0.0043 U	0.0014 J	
MW-2F	GW 3/18/2003	0.000028 U	0.004 J	0.93	0.0048 U	0.0011 U	83	NS	0.0043 U	0.00084 U	
MW-3	GW 3/18/2003	0.000028 U	0.0058 J	0.66	0.0048 U	0.0011 U	65	730	0.0043 U	0.00084 UA	
MW-3F	GW 3/18/2003	0.000028 U		0.69	0.0048 U	0.0011 U	64	NS	0.0043 U	0.00084 U	
MW-4	GW 3/18/2003	0.0004	0.15	3.5	0.0096 U	0.0019 J	21	240	0.0043 U	0.096	
MW-4F	GW 3/18/2003	0.000028 U		4.5	0.0048 U	0.0011 U	30	NS	0.0043 U	0.00084 U	
MW-5	GW 3/18/2003	0.000028 U		0.35	0.0048 U		57	200	0.0043 U	0.0029 J	
MW-5F	GW 3/18/2003	0.000028 U		0.34	0.0048 U	0.0011 U	59	NS	0.0043 U	0.0011 J	
MW-6	GW 3/18/2003	0.000028 U	0.0071 J	8.8	0.0048 U	0.0011 U	120	900	0.0043 U	0.00084 U	
MW-6F	GW 3/18/2003	0.000028 U		10	0.0048 U	0.0011 U	130	NS	0.0043 U	0.00084 U	
MW-7	GW 3/18/2003	0.000028 U	0.089	1	0.0048 U	0.0011 U	21	720	0.0043 U	0.00084 U	
MW-7F	GW 3/18/2003	0.000028 U	0.091	1.2	0.0048 U	0.0011 U	17	NS	0.0074 J	0.00084 U	100
MW-8	GW 3/19/2000	0.000028 U		5.4	0.0096 U	0.0011 U	84	350	0.0043 U	0.001 J	1
MW-8F	GW 3/19/2000	0.000028 U	0.012	6	0.0048 U	0.0011 U	84	NS	0.0043 U	0.00084 U	150
MW-9	GW 3/19/2000	0.000028 U		15	0.0096 U	0.0011 U	110	1700	0.0043 U	0.00092 J	
MW-9F	GW 3/19/2000	0.000028 U	0.013	18	0.0048 U	0.0011 U	120	NS	0.0043 U	0.00084 U	
MW-10	GW 3/18/2003	0.00031	0.14	7.9	0.0096 U	0.0011 U	7	23	0.0043 U	0.19	
MW-10F	GW 3/18/2003	0.000028 U		0.26	0.0048 U	0.0011 U	8.2	NS	0.0043 U	0.00084 U	0.
MW-11	GW 6/20/2003	0.0002 U	0.0054 J	4.6 J	0.0032 J	0.003 J	46 J	NS	0.003 U	0.00025 J	1
MW-11F	GW 6/20/2003	0.0002 U	0.0056	4.7	0.0029 J	0.003 J	39	NS	0.00008 J	0.00098 J	1
MW-11D	GW 6/20/2003	0.00003 U	0.0078 J	4.5 J	0.0031 J	0.00007 J	38 J	NS	0.00008 J	0.0056	I
MW-11DF	GW 6/20/2003	0.00003 U	0.0063	4.7	0.0024 J	0.000035	43	NS	0.000047 U	0.00095 J	T
TW-5	GW 6/20/2003	0.0002 U	0.026 J	2.6 J	0.005 J	0.00009 J	24 J	NS	0.00027 J	0.03	T
TW-5F	GW 6/20/2003	0.0002 U	0.0075	0.86	0.0023 J	0.00045 J	24	NS	0.0001 J	0.0018 J	0.
TW-6	GW 6/20/2003	0.0002 J	0.14 J	4.5 J	0.0044 J	0.00007 J	16 J	NS	0.00084 J	0.12	1
TW-6F	GW 6/20/2003	0.0002 U	0.011	0.71	0.0015 J	0.0003 J	29	NS	0.00005 J	0.0023 J	0.
TW-7	GW 6/20/2003	0.000032 J	0.024 J	5.5 J	0.0039 J	0.00009 J	17 J	NS	0.00029 J	0.032	T
TW-7F	GW 6/20/2003	0.0002 U	0.0057	3.2	0.0026 J	0.00017 J	22	NS	0.003 U	0.0021 J	T

Exceeds Illinois TACO Standards for Class I Groundwater Detection Limit above Screening Level

A = Analyte was detected in the method blank
B = Analyte was detected between the method detC = Elevated detection limit due to matrix effect
J = Estimated Value
U = Indicates undetected at concentration listed
NS = Not sampled
F = Filtered Sample

Table IV-1B Groundwater Sample Results PCBs Eagle Zinc Company Site, Hillsboro, IL

	- F	Parameter
S	creening L	evels mg/L
Cla	ss I TACO	Standard
Cla	ss II TACO	Standard
Eagle Zinc	Company	Site Data (mg/L)
G-107	GW	3/19/2013
MW-1	GW	3/19/2003
MW-1D	GW	3/19/2003
MW-4	GW	3/18/2003

	Aroclor 1221	Aroclor 1232	Aroclor 1242	Aroclor 1248	Aroclor 1254	Aroclor 1260
0.0005	0.0005	0.0005	0.0005	0.0005	0.0005	0.0005
0.0025	0.0025	0.0025	0.0025	0.0025	0.0025	0.0025
0.0011 U	0.0011 11	0.0011 U.I	0.0011 11]	0.0011 11	0.001111	0.0011
0.0011 U	0.0011 U	0.0011 U	0.0011 U	0.0011 U	0.0011 U	
0.0011 U	0.0011 U	0.0011 U	0.0011 U	0.0011 U	0.0011 U	0.0011

Notes:

Exceeds Illinois TACO Standards for Class I Groundwater

- A = Analyte was detected in the method blank
- B= Analyte was detected between the method detection limit and the reporting limit
- C = Elevated detection limit due to matrix
- J = Estimated Value
- U = Indicates undetected at concentration
- NS = Not
- F = Filtered Sample

	Parameter	
Screen	ing Levels	mg/L
	I TACO Star	
Class	II TACO Star	ndard
Eagle 2	inc Compar (mg/L)	y Site Data
		Collection
FieldID	Matrix	Date
FieldID G107	Matrix	
		Date
G107	GW	Date 3/19/2013

1,1,1-Trichloro- ethane	1,1,2,2- Tetrachloro- ethane	1,1,2-Trichloro- ethane	1,1,2-Trichlorotri- fluoroethane	1,1-Dichloro- ethane	1,1- Dichloroethene	1,2,4-Trichloro- benzene	1,2-Dibromo-3- chloropropane	1,2-Dibromo- ethane	1,2-Dichloro- benzene	1,2-Dichloro- ethane	1,2-Dichloro- propane
0.2		0.005		0.7	0.007	0.07	0.0002	-	0.6	0.005	0.005
1		0.05		3.5	0.035	0.7	0.0002		1.5	0.025	0.25
0.00065 U	0.00077 U	0.0005 U	0.00093 U	0.00087 U	0.00056 U	0.00057 U	0.00088 U	0.00066 U	0.00071 U	0.00055 U	0.00039 (
0.00065 U	0.00077 U	0.0005 U	0.00093 U	0.00087 U	0.00056 U	0.00057 U	0.00088 U	0.00066 U	0.00071 U	0.00055 U	0.00039 (
					0.00050.11	0.000== 11	0 00000 11	0.00000 11	0.00074.11	0.00055.11	
0.00065 U	0.00077 U	0.0005 U	0.00093 U	0.00087 U	0.00056 U	0.00057 U	0.00088 U	0.00066 U	0.00071 U	0.00055 U	0.00039

Notes:

Exceeds Illinois TACO Standards for Class I Groundwater

- A = Analyte was detected in the method blank
- B= Analyte was detected between the method detection limit and the reporting limit
- C = Elevated detection limit due to matrix
- J = Estimated Value
- U = Indicates undetected at concentration
- NS = Not sampled
- F = Filtered Sample
- R = Non detected result rejected

Table IV-1C
Groundwater Sample Results
Volatile Organic Compounds
Eagle Zinc Company Site, Hillsboro, IL

	Parameter	
Screen	ing Levels	mg/L
Class	I TACO Star	ndard
Class	II TACO Star	ndard
Eagle 2	inc Compan (mg/L)	y Site Data
FieldID		Collection Date
	(mg/L)	Collection Date
FieldID	(mg/L) Matrix	Collection
FieldID G107	(mg/L) Matrix GW	Collection Date 3/19/2013

1,3-Dichloro- benzene	1,4-Dichloro- benzene	2-Butanone	2-Hexanone	4-Methyl-2- pentanone	Acetone	Benzene	Bromo-dichloro- methane	Bromoform	Bromo- methane	Carbon disulfide	Carbon tetra- chloride	Chloro-benzene
	0.025	-			0.7	0.005	0.00002	0.0002	-	0.7	0.005	0.1
	0.375				0.7	0.025	0.00002	0.0002		3.5	0.025	0.5
0.00058 U	0.00063 U	0.004 R	0.0012 U	0.00091 U	0.0033 R	0.00025 U	0.00023 U	0.00045 U	0.00087 U	0.0005 U	0.00047 U	0.00058 U
0.00058 U	0.00063 U	0.004 R	0.0012 U	0.00091 U	0.0033 R	0.00025 U	0.00023 U	0.00045 U	0.00087 U	0.0005 U	0.00047 U	0.00058 U
0.00058 U	0.00063 U	0.004 R	0.0012 U	0.00091 U	0.0033 R	0.00025 U	0.00023 U	0.00045 U	0.00087 U	0.0005 U	0.00047 U	0.00058 U
0.00058 U	0.00063 U	0.004 R	0.0012 U	0.00091 U	0.0033 R	0.00025 U	0.00023 U	0.00045 U	0.00087 U	0.0005 U	0.00047 U	0.00058 U

Notes:

Exceeds Illinois TACO Standards for Class I Groundwater

- A = Analyte was detected in the method bl
- B = Analyte was detected between the metl
- C = Elevated detection limit due to matrix
- J = Estimated Value
- U = Indicates undetected at concentration
- NS = Not sampled
- F = Filtered Sample
- R = Non detected result rejected

Table IV-1C **Groundwater Sample Results** Volatile Organic Compounds

Eagle Zinc Company Site, Hillsboro, IL

	Parameter	
Screen	ing Levels	mg/L
Class	I TACO Star	ndard
Class	II TACO Star	ndard
Eagle 2	inc Compan	v Site Data
	(mg/L)	ly One Data
FieldID		Collection Date
1.4	(mg/L)	Collection
FieldID	(mg/L) Matrix	Collection Date 3/19/2013
FieldID G107	(mg/L) Matrix GW	Collection Date

Chloro-dibromo- methane	Chloro-ethane	Chloroform	Chloro-methane		cis-1,3-Dichloro- propene	trans-1,3- Dichloro- propene	Cyclo-hexane	Dichloro-difluoro- methane	Ethyl-benzene	Fluorotri-chloro- methane	Isopropyl- benzene	Methyl Acetate
0.14		0.00002		0.07	0.001	0.001		-	0.7	- 1		-
0.14		0.0001		0.2	0.005	0.005			1.0			
			*									
0.00084 U	0.00084 U	0.00045 U	0.00027 U	0.00081 U	0.00057 U	0.00064 U	0.0012 U	0.00057 U	0.00053 U	0.00085 U	0.00066 U	0.0019 U
0.00084 U	0.00084 U	0.00045 U	0.00027 U	0.00081 U	0.00057 U	0.00064 U	0.0012 U	0.00057 U	0.00053 U	0.00085 U	0.00066 U	0.0019 U
0.00084 U	0.00084 U	0.00045 U	0.00027 U	0.00081 U	0.00057 U	0.00064 U	0.0012 U	0.00057 U	0.00053 U	0.00085 U	0.00066 U	0.0019 U
0.00084 U	0.00084 U	0.00045 U	0.00027 U	0.00081 U	0.00057 U	0.00064 U	0.0012 U	0.00057 U	0.00053 U	0.00085 U	0.00066 U	0.0019 U

Exceeds Illinois TACO Standards for Class I Groundwater

- Detection Limit above Screening Level

 A = Analyte was detected in the method bl

 B = Analyte was detected between the metl
- C = Elevated detection limit due to matrix effect
- J = Estimated Value
- U = Indicates undetected at concentration
- NS = Not sampled
- F = Filtered Sample
- R = Non detected result rejected

			,
			-

Table IV-1C **Groundwater Sample Results** Volatile Organic Compounds Eagle Zinc Company Site, Hillsboro, IL

	Parameter	
Screen	ing Levels	mg/L
Class	I TACO Star	ndard
Class	II TACO Star	ndard
Eagle 2	inc Compar (mg/L)	THE PARTY CAN
FieldID		Collection Date
	(mg/L)	Collection
FieldID	(mg/L) Matrix	Collection Date
FieldID G107	(mg/L) Matrix	Collection Date 3/19/2013

Methyl-tert-butyl- ether	Methyl-cyclo- hexane	Methyl-ene chloride	Styrene	Tetrachloroethe ne	Toluene	trans-1,2- Dichloroethene	Trichloro-ethene	Vinyl chloride	Xylene, -o	Xylenes, -m, -p*
			12 4							100
0.07		0.005	0.1	0.005	1.0	0.1	0.005	0.002	10	10
0.07		0.05	0.5	0.25	2.5	0.5	0.025	0.01	10	10
0.00087 11	0.00073 11	0.00047 11	0.00062 11	0.00063 11	0.00084 11	0.0008 11	1 0 00030 111	0.00011 111	0.00073 11	0.0011 11
0.00087 U	0.00073 U	0.00047 U	0.00062 U	0.00063 U	0.00084 U		0.00039 U	0.00011 U	0.00073 U	
0.00087 U	0.00073 U	0.00047 U	0.00062 U 0.00062 U	0.00063 U	0.00084 U		0.00039 U	0.00011 U	0.00073 U	
						0.0008 U				0.0011 U

Exceeds Illinois TACO Standards for Class I Groundwater

- Detection Limit above Screening Level

 A = Analyte was detected in the method bl

 B = Analyte was detected between the metl
- C = Elevated detection limit due to matrix
- J = Estimated Value
- U = Indicates undetected at concentration NS = Not sampled
- F = Filtered Sample
- R = Non detected result rejected

Parameter	1,2,4-Trichloro- benzene	1,2-Dichloro- benzene	1,3-Dichloro- benzene	1,4-Dichloro- benzene	2,2'-oxy-bis-(1- Chloro-propane)	2,4,5-Trichloro- phenol	2,4,6-Trichloro- phenol	2,4-Dichloro- phenol	2,4-Di-methyl- phenol	2,4- Dinitrophenol	2,4-Dinitro-toluene	2,6-Dinitro-toluene	2-Chloro- naphthalene	2-Chloro-phenol	2-Methyl- naphthalene	2-Methyl-phenol	2-Nitro-aniline	2-Nitro-pheno
Screening Levels mg/L					24		_		4	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,								
Class I TACO Standard	0.07	0.6		0.075					0.14	-	0.00002	0.0001		0.035		0.35		
Class II TACO Standard	0.7	1.5		0.375	-				0.14	мм	0.00002	0.0001		0.175		0.35		
Eagle Zinc Company Site Data in mg/L																		
Field ID Matrix Collection Date														= -				
ALL A PART THE ALL A	0.003 U	0.0025 U	0.0023 U	0.0023 U	0.0036 U	0.0046 U	0.004 U	0.0037 U	0.0029 U	0.003 U	0.0017 U	0.0037 U	0.0042 U	0.0011 U	0.0039 U	0.0023 U	0.0042 U	0.0037
Field ID Matrix Collection Date		0.0025 U 0.0025 U	0.0023 U 0.0023 UJ	0.0023 U 0.0023 U	0.0036 U	0.0046 U	0.004 U	0.0037 U 0.0037 U	0.0029 U 0.0029 U	0.003 U		0.0037 U]	0.0042 U	0.0011 U	0.0039 U	0.0023 U		
Field ID Matrix Collection Date G-107 GW 3/19/2003	0.003 U				0.0036 U			0.0037 U		0.003 U	0.0017 U				0.0039 U	0.0023 U	0.0042 U	
Field ID Matrix Collection Date G-107 GW 3/19/2003 M-W 1 GW 3/19/2003	0.003 U	0.0025 U	0.0023 UJ	0.0023 U	0.0036 U	0.0046 U	0.004 U	0.0037 U	0.0029 U	0.003 U	0.0017 U	0.0037 U	0.0042 U	0.0011 U	0.0039 U	0.0023 U 0.0024 U	0.0042 U	0.0037

Exceeds Illinois TACO Standards for Class I
Groundwater
Detection Limit above Screening Level
A = Analyte was detected in the method blar
B = Analyte was detected between the method detection limit and the reporting lir
C = Elevated detection limit due to matrix effect
J = Estimated Value
U = Indicates undetected at concentration listed

NS = Not samplec F = Filtered Sample

ENVIRON 11/24/2003 Page 1 of 4

	Pa	rameter	3,3-Dichloro- benzidine	3-Nitro-aniline		4-Bromo-phenyl phenyl ether	4-Chloro-aniline	4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	4-Methyl- phenol	4-Nitro-phenol	Acena-phthene	Acena-phthylene	Acetophenone	Anthra-cene	Atrazine	Benz-alde-hyde	Benzo(a)anthra- cene	Benzo(a)- pyrene	Benzo(b)fluor- anthene	Benzo(g,h,i)-perylene	Benzo-(k)-fluor- anthene	bis-(2-Chlor ethoxy)-meth
	ening Levels I		0.02			-	0.028				0.042			2.1	0.003		0.00013	0.0002	0.00018		0.00017	
	s II TACO Stan		0.1				0.028				2.1			10.5	0.015		0.00065	0.002	0.0009	-	0.00085	
Eagle Zinc Co	ompany Site D	Pata in mg/L																				
Field ID	mpany Site L	Data in mg/L Collection Date													211							
			0.0028 U	0.0028 U	0.0017 U	0.0036 U	0.0042 U	0.0047 U	0.002 U	0.0018 U	0.0046 U	0.0047 U	0.0045 U	0.0028 U	0.0022 U	0.0082 U	0.0017 U	0.0015 U	0.0022 U	0.0021 U	0.0024 U	0.004
Field ID	Matrix	Collection Date	0.0028 U	0.0028 U	0.0017 U	0.0036 U	0.0042 U 0.0042 U	0.0047 U	0.002 U 0.002 U	0.0018 U 0.0018 UJ	0.0046 U	0.0047 U	0.0045 U	0.0028 U	0.0022 U	0.0082 U	0.0017 U	0.0015 U		0.0021 U 0.0021 U	0.0024 U	0.004
Field ID G-107	Matrix	Collection Date 3/19/2003				0.0036 U 0.0036 U 0.0037 U				0.0018 UJ						0.0082 U 0.0082 U			0.0022 U			0.00
Field ID G-107 M-W 1	Matrix	3/19/2003 3/19/2003	0.0028 U	0.0028 U	0.0017 U	0.0036 U 0.0037 U	0.0042 U	0.0047 U	0.002 U	0.0018 UJ	0.0046 U	0.0047 U	0.0045 U	0.0028 U	0.0022 U	0.0082 U 0.0082 U 0.0085 U	0.0017 U	0.0015 U	0.0022 U	0.0021 U	0.0024 U	0.0

C = Elevated detection limit due to matrix effect J = Estimated Value
U = Indicates undetected at concentration listed

Exceeds Illinois TACO Standards for Class I Groundwater
Detection Limit above Screening Level

A = Analyte was detected in the method blar
B = Analyte was detected between the method det

NS = Not sampled F = Filtered Sample

ENVIRON 11/24/2003 Page 2 of 4

	·	

	Pa	rameter					
	ening Levels						
	s I TACO Stan						
Class II TACO Standard							
Eagle Zinc Co	mpany Site L	Data in mg/L					
Eagle Zinc Co	mpany Site L						
	1	Collection Date 3/19/2003					
Field ID	Matrix	Collection Date					
G-107	Matrix GW	Collection Date					
Field ID G-107 M-W 1	Matrix GW GW	3/19/2003 3/19/2003					

Exc	eeds Illinois TACO Standards for Class I
Gro	undwater
Det	ection Limit above Screening Level
B = C = J =	Analyte was detected in the method blar Analyte was detected between the method de Elevated detection limit due to matrix effect Estimated Value
U=	Indicates undetected at concentration listed

NS = Not samplec F = Filtered Sample

bis-(2-Chloro- ethyl)-ether	bis(2- Ethylhexyl)phtha late	Butyl-benzyl- phthalate	Caprolactam	Carb-azole	Chrysene	Di-n-butyl-phthalate	Di-n-octyl- phthalate	Dibenzo- (a,h)anthracene	Dibenzofuran	Diethyl-phthalate	Di-methyl- phthalate	Fluoran-thene	Fluorene	Hexa-chloro- benzene	Hexa-chloro- butadiene	Hexa-chloro- cyclopenta-diene	Hexa-chloro-ethane	Indeno-(1,2,3- cd)-pyrene	Isophorone
0.01	0.006	1.4			0.0015 0.0075	0.7 3.5	0.14 0.7	0.0003 0.0015		5.6 5.6		0.28	0.28	0.00006 0.0003	-	0.05 0.5	0.007	0.00043	1.4 1.4
0.00087 U	0.0014 U	0.0018 U	0.0029 J	0.0014 U	0.0018 U	0.0014 U	0.0013 U	0.0024 U	0.0047 U	0.0027 U	0.0035 U	0.0016 U	0.0047 U	0.0014 U	0.0029 U	0.0014 U	0.0023 U	0.0016 U	0.0045 U
0.00087 U	0.0014 U	0.0018 U 0.0019 U	0.0013 U	0.0014 U 0.0015 U	0.0018 U 0.0019 U	0.0014 U 0.0015 U	0.0013 U 0.0013 U	0.0024 U	0.0047 U 0.0049 U	0.0027 U 0.0028 U	0.0035 U 0.0036 U	0.0016 U	0.0047 U 0.0049 U	0.0014 U 0.0015 U	0.0029 U 0.003 U	0.0014 U 0.0015 U	0.0023 U 0.0024 U	0.0016 U 0.0017 U	0.0045 U 0.0047 U
0.00096 U		0.002 U	0.1 0 0.0016 U	0.0015 U 0.0017 U	0.002 U	0.0015 U 0.0017 U	0.0014 U 0.0016 U	0.0026 U	0.0052 U 0.0058 U	0.003 U	0.0038 U 0.0043 U	0.0018 U	0.0052 U 0.0058 U	0.0015 U	0.0032 U 0.0036 U	0.0015 U 0.0017 U	0.0025 U	0.0018 U 0.002 U	0.0049 U 0.0056 U

ENVIRON 11/24/2003 Page 3 of 4

	Pa	rameter	N-Nitroso-di-n- propylamine	N- Nitrosodiphenylamin e	Naphthalene	Nitro-benzene	Penta-chloro- phenol	Phenanthrene	Phenol	Pyrene
						,				,,,,,,,,
Scree	ning Levels	mg/L								
	s I TACO Stan		0.01	0.01	0.025	0.0035	0.001		0.1	0.21
Class	II TACO Star	ndarc	0.05	0.01	0.035	0.0035	0.005		0.1	1.05
Eagle Zinc Co	mpany Site L	11	0.00	0.01			0.000	1		
Eagle Zinc Co	mpany Site L	11	3.50	3.01		, 0.0000		,	,	
- Manager	T	Data in mg/L	0.0043 U	0.0022 U	0.0038 U	0.0035 U	0.00078 U	0.0021 U	0.001 U	0.0018
Field ID	Matrix	Data in mg/L Collection Date				0.0035 U		0.0021 U 0.0021 U		
Field ID G-107	Matrix GW	Collection Date 3/19/2003	0.0043 U	0.0022 U	0.0038 U	0.0035 U	0.00078 U		0.001 U	0.0018
Field ID G-107 M-W 1	Matrix GW GW	Collection Date 3/19/2003 3/19/2003	0.0043 U 0.0043 U	0.0022 U 0.0022 U	0.0038 U	0.0035 U 0.0035 U 0.0036 U	0.00078 U	0.0021 U	0.001 U 0.001 U	0.0018

Exceeds Illinois TACO Standards for Class I Groundwater

Detection Limit above Screening Level

A = Analyte was detected in the method blar

B = Analyte was detected between the method det

C = Elevated detection limit due to matrix effect

J = Estimated Value

U = Indicates undetected at concentration listed

NS = Not sampled F = Filtered Sample

Page 4 of 4 ENVIRON 11/24/2003



	Para	ameter
Screenii	ng Levels mg/	L
Illin	iois General Wat	er Quality Standard
EPA National Recomme	ended Water Qua	lity Criteria (2002)
Eagle Zin	c Company Sit	e Data (mg/L)
Field ID	Matrix	Collection Dat
SW-ED-11	SW	3/10/2003
SW-ED-13	SW	3/10/2003
SW-ED-16	SW	3/10/2003
SW-WD-10	SW	3/10/2003
SW-WD-6-031003	SW	3/10/2003
SW-WD-7	SW	3/10/2003
SW-WD-7D	SW	3/10/2003
SW-WD-8	SW	3/10/2003
SW-WD-9	SW	3/10/2003
SW-WD-PN	SW	3/10/2003
SW-WD-PS	SW	3/10/2003
SW-WD-6-061303	SW	6/13/2003
SW-WD-6-061303D	SW	6/13/2003
SW-WD-11	SW	6/13/2003
SW-WD-12	SW	6/13/2003

Aluminum	Antimony	Arsenic	Barium	Beryllium	Cadmium	Calcium	Chromium	Cobalt	Copper	Iron	Lead	Magnesium
	-	0.36	5		0.05	-	0.016	- 1	0.07	2	0.1	-
_	0.0056	0.000018	1.0 2	0.004 3	0.00025 ³		0.1 3	1	1.3	0.3 2		-
0.17	0.0025 U	0.0081 U	0.14	0.00061 U	0.00053 U	88	0.001	0.0009 U	0.0044	0.28	0.0013 U	
0.031	0.0025 U	0.0081 U	0.071	0.00061 U	0.0071	80	0.00093 U	0.0009 U	0.004	0.28	0.0013 U	
0.13	0.0025 U	0.0081 U	0.05	0.00061 U	0.00053 U	42	0.0011	0.0009 U	0.002	0.23	0.0013 U	
0.21	0.0025 U	0.0081 U	0.05	0.00061 U	0.0058	100	0.00093 U	0.0044	0.0059	15	0.0013 U	
0.047	0.0025 U	0.0081 U	0.036	0.00061 U	0.019	150	0.00093 U	0.0016	0.0016	0.39	0.0013 U	
0.027 U	0.0025 U	0.0081 U	0.021	0.00061 U	0.034	140	0.00093 U	0.0009 U	0.0049	0.44	0.0023	
0.027 U	0.0025 U	0.0081 U	0.021	0.00061 U	0.034	140	0.00093 U	0.0009 U	0.0048	0.46	0.0022	
0.027 U	0.0025 U	0.0081 U	0.041	0.00061 U	0.0023	130	0.00093 U	0.00092	0.0011	3.2	0.0013 U	
0.027 U	0.0025 U	0.0081 U	0.024	0.00061 U	0.23	120	0.00093 U	0.0009 U	0.0026	0.056	0.0013 U	
0.037	0.0025 U	0.0081 U	0.041	0.00061 U	0.087	120	0.00093 U	0.0024	0.0023	0.17	0.0032	
0.027 U	0.0025 U	0.0081 U	0.04	0.00061 U	0.069	110	0.00093 U	0.0019	0.0017	0.15	0.0022	
0.076 U	0.0003 J	0.0012 J	0.047	0.0001 U	0.0058	90	0.00061 J	0.00088 J	0.0033 J	0.56	0.0028	
0.055 U	0.00026 J	0.0012 J	0.05	0.00011 U	0.0059	86	0.00062 J	0.00084 J	0.0032 J	0.53	0.0028	
1.1	0.0003 J	0.0023 J	0.087	0.00021 J	0.00019 J	38	0.0016 J	0.00081 J	0.0037 J	1.4	0.0038	
1.4	0.00032 J	0.0022 J	0.089	0.00018 J	0.0012	51	0.0018 J	0.0009 J	0.0041 J	1.6	0.0052	

Exceeds Most Stringent Illinois General Water Quality Standards

Detection Limit Above Screening Level

A = Analyte was detected in the method blank

B = Analyte was detected between the method detection limit and the reporting limit

C = Elevated detection limit due to matrix effect

J = Estimated Value

U = Indicates undetected at concentration listed

NS = Not sampled

R = Non Detected Results Rejected

No Criterion

- ¹ Value is based on the more stringent of the human health-based priority or non-priority toxic pollutants. Criteria only listed for constituents without Illinois Surface Water Standards that were detected at least once in surface water.

ENVIRON 11/25/2003 Page 1 of 2

Table IV-2A Surface Water Sample Results Metals and Sulfates Eagle Zinc Company Site, Hillsboro, IL

	ing Levels mg/	
Illir	nois General Wat	er Quality Standard
EPA National Recomme	ended Water Qua	lity Criteria (2002)
Eagle Zin	c Company Sit	e Data (mg/L)
Field ID	Matrix	Collection Dat
SW-ED-11	SW	3/10/2003
SW-ED-13	SW	3/10/2003
SW-ED-16	SW	3/10/2003
SW-WD-10	SW	3/10/2003
SW-WD-6-031003	SW	3/10/2003
SW-WD-7	SW	3/10/2003
SW-WD-7D	SW	3/10/2003
SW-WD-8	SW	3/10/2003
SW-WD-9	SW	3/10/2003
SW-WD-PN	SW	3/10/2003
SW-WD-PS	SW	3/10/2003
SW-WD-6-061303	SW	6/13/2003
SW-WD-6-061303D	SW	6/13/2003
SW-WD-11	SW	6/13/2003
SW-WD-12	SW	6/13/2003

Manganese	Mercury	Nickel	Potassium	Selenium	Silver	Sodium	Sulfate	Thallium	Vanadium	Zinc
1	0.0005	1	-	1	1.1		500		-	1
0.05 2	_	0.61		0.17			_	0.017		7.4
0.11	0.000028 U	0.0025	5.7	0.0048 U	0.0011 U	29	21	0.0043 U	0.0015	1.4
0.38	0.000028 U	0.012	3.6	0.0048 U	0.0011 U	41	130	0.0043 U	0.00084 U	17
0.1	0.000028 U	0.0018	5.2	0.0048 U	0.0011 U	15	160	0.0043 U	0.00087	0.84
0.49	0.000034	0.013	5.4	0.0048 U	0.0011 U	62	95	0.0043 U	0.00084 U	3.
0.62	0.000028 U	0.012	7.6	0.0048 U	0.0011 U	52	330	0.0043 U	0.00084 U	15
0.077	0.000028 U	0.019	9.2	0.0048 U	0.0011 U	60	260	0.0043 U	0.00084 U	2
0.078	0.000028 U	0.017	9.2	0.0048 U	0.0011 U	60	270	0.0043 U	0.00084 U	2
0.4	0.000028 U	0.0029	5.1	0.0048 U	0.0011 U	41	210	0.0043 U	0.00084 U	1.
0.01	0.000028 U	0.036	17	0.0048 U	0.0011 U	57	420	0.0043 U	0.00084 U	20
0.3	0.000028 U	0.029	14	0.0048 U	0.0011 U	46	450	0.0043 U	0.00084 U	11
0.27	0.000028 U	0.026	13	0.0048 U	0.0011 U	41	430	0.0043 U	0.00084 U	1/
0.35	0.0002 U	0.0073	5.8	0.002 J	0.00006 J	32 J	NS	0.00015 U	0.0007 J	Name of Street,
0.34	0.00003 J	0.0074	6	0.0019 J	0.000049 U	29	NS	0.00013 U	0.00065 J	3.0
0.25	0,0003 U	0.0029 J	5	0.0013 J	0.00008 J	17	NS	0.00012 U	0.0047	0.072

Exceeds Most Stringent Illinois General Water Quality Standards
Detection Limit Above Screening Level
A = Analyte was detected in the method blank
B = Analyte was detected between the method detection limit and
C = Elevated detection limit due to matrix effect
J = Estimated Value
U = Indicates undetected at concentration listed
NS = Not sampled
R = Non Detected Results Rejected
- No Criterion

- 1 Value is based on the more stringent of the human health-based r toxic pollutants. Criteria only listed for constituents without Illinois Standards that were detected at least once in surface water.

Table IV-2B Surface Water Sample Results PCBs

Eagle Zinc Company Site, Hillsboro, IL

	P	arameter
Scre	ening Lev	rels mg/L
Illinois Ger	neral Water	Quality Standards
Eagle Zinc Co	ompany S	ite Data (mg/L)
Field ID	Matrix	Collection Date
SW-ED-11	SW	3/11/2003
SW-ED-13	SW	3/11/2003
SW-ED-16	SW	3/11/2003
SW-WD-10	SW	3/11/2003
SW-WD-6	SW	3/11/2003
SW-WD-7	SW	3/11/2003
SW-WD-7D	SW	3/11/2003
SW-WD-8	SW	3/11/2003
SW-WD-9	SW	3/11/2003
SW-WD-PN	SW	3/11/2003
SW-WD-PS	SW	3/11/2003

Aroclor 1016	Aroclor 1221	Aroclor 1232	Aroclor 1242	Aroclor 1248	Aroclor 1254	Aroclor 1260
1	1	1	1	1	1	1
0.00096 U	0.00096					
0.00098 U	0.0009					
0.00097 U	0.0009					
0.0011 U	0.001					
0.0011 U	0.001					
0.001 U	0.00					
0.001 U	0.00					
0.001 U	0.00					
0.0011 U	0.001					
0.00096 U	0.0009					
0.00097 U	0.0009					

Notes:

Exceeds Most Stringent Illinois General Water Quality Standards

- A = Analyte was detected in the method blank
- B= Analyte was detected between the method detection limit and the reporting limit
- C = Elevated detection limit due to matrix effect
- J = Estimated Value
- U = Indicates undetected at concentration listed
- NS = Not sampled
- R = Non Detected Results Rejected

	Paramete	r	
Screen	ing Levels	s mg/L	
Illinois Gen	eral Water	Quality Sta	ndaı
National I	Recommen	ded Water (Qual
		C	rite
Eagle Zinc	Company	Site Data (r	rite ng/L
Eagle Zinc	Company Matrix		ng/L
		Site Data (r	ng/L
FieldID	Matrix	Site Data (r	ng/L Da
FieldID SW-ED-11	Matrix	Site Data (n	Da 03
FieldID SW-ED-11 SW-ED-13	Matrix SW	Site Data (n Collection 3/11/20	ng/L 03 03
FieldID SW-ED-11 SW-ED-13 SW-WD-10	Matrix SW SW	Site Data (r Collection 3/11/20 3/11/20	03 03 03
FieldID SW-ED-11 SW-ED-13 SW-WD-10 SW-WD-7	Matrix SW SW SW SW	Site Data (r Collection 3/11/20 3/11/20 3/11/20	Da 03 03 03 03

1,1,1-Trichloro- ethane	1,1,2,2- Tetrachloro- ethane	1,1,2-Trichloro- ethane	1,1-Dichloro- ethane	1,1- Dichloroethene	1,2,4-Trichloro- benzene	1,2-Dibromo-3- chloropropane	1,2-Dichloro- benzene	1,2-Dichloro- ethane	1,2-Dichloro- propane
									,
0.00065 U	0.00077 U	0.0005 U	0.00087 U	0.00056 U	0.00057 U	0.00088 U	0.00071 U	0.00055 U	0.00039
0.00065 U	0.00077 U	0.0005 U	0.00087 U	0.00056 U	0.00057 U	0.00088 U	0.00071 U	0.00055 U	0.00039
						2 22222111	0.00074[11]	0.00055111	
0.00065 U	0.00077 U	0.0005 U	0.00087 U	0.00056 U	0.00057 U	0.00088 U	0.00071 U	0.00055 U	0.00039
0.00065 U	0.00077 U 0.00077 U		0.00087 U	0.00056 U	0.00057 U 0.00057 U	0.00088 U	0.00071 U	0.00055 U	0.00039
		0.0005 U							
0.00065 U	0.00077 U	0.0005 U	0.00087 U	0.00056 U	0.00057 U	0.00088 U	0.00071 U	0.00055 U	0.00039

Notes:

Exceeds Most Stringent Illinois General Water Quality Standards

Detection Limit above Screening Level

A = Analyte was detected in the method blank

B = Analyte was detected between the method detection limit and the reporting lim C = Elevated detection limit due to matrix

J = Estimated Value

U = Indicates undetected at concentration lister
NS = Not sampled

R = Non Detected Results Rejected

	Paramete	•	
Screen	ing Levels	s mg/L	
Illinois Gen	eral Water	Quality Standard	d
National I	Recommen	ded Water Quali Criteri	- 1
			a
Eagle Zinc	Company	Site Data (mg/L)	1
Eagle Zinc	Company Matrix		1
		Site Data (mg/L	1
FieldID	Matrix	Site Data (mg/L) Collection Dat	1
FieldID SW-ED-11	Matrix	Site Data (mg/L) Collection Dat 3/11/2003	1
FieldID SW-ED-11 SW-ED-13	Matrix SW SW	Site Data (mg/L) Collection Dat 3/11/2003 3/11/2003	1
FieldID SW-ED-11 SW-ED-13 SW-WD-10	Matrix SW SW SW	Site Data (mg/L) Collection Dat 3/11/2003 3/11/2003 3/11/2003	1
FieldID SW-ED-11 SW-ED-13 SW-WD-10 SW-WD-7	Matrix SW SW SW SW	Site Data (mg/L) Collection Dat 3/11/2003 3/11/2003 3/11/2003 3/11/2003	1

1,3-Dichloro- benzene	1,4-Dichloro- benzene	2-Butanone	2-Hexanone	4-Methyl-2- pentanone	Acetone	Benzene	Bromo-dichloro- methane	Bromoform	Bromo- methane	Carbon disulfide	Carbon tetra- chloride	Chloro-benzen
						0.86		-		-		
				=								
				4								
0.00058 U	0.00063 U	0.004 R	0.0012 U	0.00091 U	0.0033 R	0.00025 U	0.00023 U	0.00045 U	0.00087 U	0.0005 U	0.00047 U	0.00058
0.00058 U	0.00063 U	0.004 R	0.0012 U	0.00091 U	0.0033 R	0.00025 U	0.00023 U	0.00045 U	0.00087 U	0.0005 U	0.00047 U	0.00058 U
0.00058 U	0.00063 U	0.004 R	0.0012 U	0.00091 U	0.0033 R	0.00025 U	0.00023 U	0.00045 U	0.00087 U	0.0005 U	0.00047 U	0.00058 U
0.00058 U	0.00063 U	0.004 R	0.0012 U	0.00091 U	0.0033 R	0.00025 U	0.00023 U	0.00045 U	0.00087 U	0.0005 U	0.00047 U	0.00058 U
0.00058 U	0.00063 U	0.004 R	0.0012 U	0.00091 U	0.0033 R	0.00025 U	0.00023 U	0.00045 U	0.00087 U	0.0005 U	0.00047 U	0.00058 U
0.00058 U	0.00063 U	0.004 R	0.0012 U	0.00091 U	0.0033 R	0.00025 U	0.00023 U	0.00045 U	0.00087 U	0.0005 U	0.00047 U	0.00058
	0.00063 U	0.004 R	0.0012 U	0.00091 U	0.0033 R	0.00025 U	0.00023 U	0.00045 U	0.00087 U	0.0005 U	0.00047 U	0.00058

Notes:

Exceeds Most Stringent Illinois General Water Quality Standards

Detection Limit above Screening Level

A = Analyte was detected in the method blar

- B = Analyte was detected between the methor
 C = Elevated detection limit due to matrix
- J = Estimated Value
- U = Indicates undetected at concentration lis

NS = Not sampled

R = Non Detected Results Rejected

Page 2 of 4

	Paramete	r	
Screen	ing Levels	s mg/L	
Illinois Gen	eral Water	Quality Sta	ndard
National I	Recommen	ded Water (Qualit
Eagle Zinc			riteria
Eagle Zinc		С	riteria
	Company	Site Data (r	ng/L) Date
FieldID	Company Matrix	Site Data (r	mg/L) Date
FieldID SW-ED-11 SW-ED-13	Company Matrix SW	Site Data (n	Date
FieldID SW-ED-11	Company Matrix SW	Site Data (n. Collection 3/11/20	Date
FieldID SW-ED-11 SW-ED-13 SW-WD-10	Company Matrix SW SW SW	Site Data (no. 2011/20) 3/11/20 3/11/20	Date 03 03 03
FieldID SW-ED-11 SW-ED-13 SW-WD-10 SW-WD-7	Company Matrix SW SW SW	Site Data (r. Collection 3/11/200 3/11/200 3/11/200	Date 03 03 03 03 03

Chloro-dibromo- methane	Chloro-ethane	Chloroform	Chloro-methane		cis-1,3-Dichloro- propene	trans-1,3- Dichloro-propene	Cyclo-hexane	Dichloro-difluoro methane	Ethyl-benzene	Fluorotri-chloro- methane	Isopropyl- benzene
									0.014		
0.00084 U	0.00084 U	0.00045 U	0.00027 U	0.00081 U	0.00057 U	0.00064 U	0.0012 U	0.00057 U	0.00053 U	0.00085 U	0.00066 U
0.00084 U	0.00084 U	0.00045 U	0.00027 U	0.00081 U	0.00057 U	0.00064 U	0.0012 U	0.00057 U	0.00053 U	0.00085 U	0.00066 U
0.00084 U	0.00084 U	0.00045 U	0.00027 U	0.00081 U	0.00057 U	0.00064 U	0.0012 U	0.00057 U	0.00053 U	0.00085 U	0.00066 U
0.00084 U	0.00084 U	0.00045 U	0.00027 U	0.00081 U	0.00057 U	0.00064 U	0.0012 U	0.00057 U	0.00053 U	0.00085 U	0.00066 U
0.00084 U	0.00084 U	0.00045 U	0.00027 U	0.00081 U	0.00057 U	0.00064 U	0.0012 U	0.00057 U	0.00053 U	0.00085 U	0.00066 U
0.00084 U	0.00084 U	0.00045 U	0.00027 U	0.002	0.00057 U	0.00064 U	0.0012 U	0.00057 U	0.00053 U	0.00085 U	0.00066 U
0.00084 U	0.00084 U	0.00045 U	0.00027 U	0.0022	0.00057 U	0.00064 U	0.0012 U	0.00057 U	0.00053 U	0.00085 U	0.00066 U

Notes:

Exceeds Most Stringent Illinois General Water Quality Standards

Detection Limit above Screening Level

A = Analyte was detected in the method blar

B = Analyte was detected in the method blanch
C = Elevated detection limit due to matrix
J = Estimated Value

U = Indicates undetected at concentration lis

NS = Not sampled R = Non Detected Results Rejected

Page 3 of 4 ENVIRON 11/25/2003

	Parameter	7	
Screen	ing Levels	s mg/L	
Illinois Gen	eral Water	Quality Star	ndard
Notional I	Pacamman	ded Water (Quality
ivational i	Necommen		riteria
			riteria
		С	riteria
Eagle Zinc	Company	Site Data (r	ng/L) Date
Eagle Zinc	Company Matrix	Site Data (n	ng/L) Date
Eagle Zinc FieldID SW-ED-11	Company Matrix	Site Data (n	ng/L) Date 03
FieldID SW-ED-11 SW-ED-13	Company Matrix SW	Site Data (no. 2011) Collection 3/11/20	ng/L) Date 03 03
FieldID SW-ED-11 SW-ED-13 SW-WD-10	Company Matrix SW SW SW	Site Data (n Collection 3/11/20 3/11/20	ng/L) Date 03 03 03
FieldID SW-ED-11 SW-ED-13 SW-WD-10 SW-WD-7	Company Matrix SW SW SW	Site Data (n. Collection 3/11/20 3/11/20 3/11/20	Date 03 03 03 03 03

Methyl-tert-butyl ether	Methyl-cyclo- hexane	Styrene	Tetra- chloroethene	Toluene	trans-1,2- Dichloroethene	Trichloro-ethene	Vinyl chloride	Xylene, -o	Xylenes, -m, -p
						×	-		
				0.6				0.36	0.36
						0.0025			
0.00087 U	0.00073 U	0.00062 U	0.00063 U	0.00084 U	0.0008 U	0.00039 U	0.00011 U	0.00073 U	0.0011 U
0.00087 U	0.00073 U	0.00062 U	0.00063 U	0.00084 U	0.0008 U	0.00039 U	0.00011 U	0.00073 U	0.0011 U
0.00087 U	0.00073 U	0.00062 U	0.00063 U	0.00084 U	0.0008 U	0.00039 U	0.00011 U	0.00073 U	0.0011 U
0.00087 U	0.00073 U	0.00062 U	0.00063 U	0.00084 U	0.0008 U	0.00039 U	0.00011 U	0.00073 U	0.0011 U
0.00087 U	0.00073 U	0.00062 U	0.00063 U	0.00084 U	0.0008 U	0.00039 U	0.00011 U	0.00073 U	0.0011 U
0.00087 U	0.00073 U	0.00062 U	0.00063 U	0.00084 U	0.0008 U	0.0063	0.00011 U	0.00073 U	0.0011 U
0.00087 U	0.00073 U	0.00062 U	0.00063 U	0.00084 U	0.0008 U	0.0014	0.00011 U	0.00073 U	0.0011 U

Notes:

Exceeds Most Stringent Illinois General Water Quality Standards

- Detection Limit above Screening Level

 A = Analyte was detected in the method blar

 B = Analyte was detected between the metho

 C = Elevated detection limit due to matrix
- J = Estimated Value
- U = Indicates undetected at concentration lis
- NS = Not sampled R = Non Detected Results Rejected


Page 4 of 4

Parameter		1,2,4-Trichloro- benzene	1,2-Dichloro- benzene	1,3-Dichloro- benzene	1,4-Dichloro- benzene	2,2'-oxy-bis-(1- Chloro-propane)	2,4,5-Trichloro- phenol	2,4,6-Trichloro- phenol	2,4-Dichloro- phenol	2,4-Di-methyl-phenol	2,4-Dinitrophenol	2,4-Dinitro-toluene	2,6-Dinitro-toluene	2-Chloro- naphthalene	2-Chloro-phenol	2-Methyl- naphthalene	2-Methyl-phenol	2-Nitro-aniline	2-Nitro-pher
Screening Levels mg/	/_										P. Carlot								
Illinois General Water Qu	uality Standard:	_		_	_	_	_		_				_		_			_	-
Eagle Zinc Company Site Data	(mg/L)																		
Field ID Matrix C	Collection Date						-										N.		
Field ID Matrix C	-7.60 11	0.003 U	. 0.0025U	0.0023 U	0.0023 U	0.0036 U	0.0046 U	0.004 U	0.0037 U	0.0029 U	0.003 U	0.0017 U	0.0037 U	0.0042 U	0.0011 U	0.0039U	0.0023 U	0.0042 U	0.003
Field ID Matrix C	Collection Date	0.003 U 0.003 U	0.0025 U	0.0023 U 0.0023 U	0.0023 U	0.0036 U 0.0036 U	0.0046 U	0.004 U		0.0029 U 0.0029 U	0.003 U	0.0017 U 0.0017 U	0.0037 U 0.0037 U	0.0042 U	0.0011 U	0.0039 U	0.0023 U 0.0023 U	0.0042 U 0.0042 U	0.003
Field ID Matrix C SW-ED-11 SW SW-ED-13 SW	3/11/2003								0.0037 U										0.00
Field ID Matrix C SW-ED-11 SW SW-ED-13 SW SW-WD-10 SW	3/11/2003 3/11/2003	0.003 U	0.0025 U		0.0023 U	0.0036 U	0.0046 U	0.004 U	0.0037 U	0.0029 U	0.003 U	0.0017 U	0.0037U	0.0042 U	0.0011 U	0.0039U	0.0023 U	0.0042 U	0.00
Field ID Matrix C SW-ED-11 SW SW-ED-13 SW SW-WD-10 SW SW-WD-7 SW	3/11/2003 3/11/2003 3/11/2003	0.003 U 0.003 U	0.0025 U 0.0025 U		0.0023 U 0.0023 U	0.0036 U 0.0036 U 0.0036 U	0.0046 U	0.004 U	0.0037 U 0.0037 U 0.0037 U	0.0029 U 0.0029 U 0.0029 U	0.003 U 0.003 U 0.003 U	0.0017 U 0.0017 U	0.0037 U 0.0037 U	0.0042 U 0.0042 U	0.0011 U	0.0039U	0.0023 U 0.0023 U	0.0042 U 0.0042 U	0.00
Field ID Matrix C SW-ED-11 SW SW-ED-13 SW SW-WD-10 SW SW-WD-7 SW SW-WD-7D SW	3/11/2003 3/11/2003 3/11/2003 3/11/2003	0.003 U 0.003 U 0.003 U	0.0025 U 0.0025 U 0.0025 U	0.0023 U 0.0023 U 0.0023 U	0.0023 U 0.0023 U 0.0023 U	0.0036 U 0.0036 U 0.0036 U	0.0046 U 0.0046 U	0.004 U 0.004 U 0.004 U	0.0037 U 0.0037 U 0.0037 U 0.0037 U	0.0029 U 0.0029 U 0.0029 U	0.003 U 0.003 U 0.003 U	0.0017 U 0.0017 U 0.0017 U	0.0037 U 0.0037 U 0.0037 U	0.0042 U 0.0042 U 0.0042 U	0.0011 U 0.0011 U 0.0011 U	0.0039 U 0.0039 U 0.0039 U	0.0023 U 0.0023 U 0.0023 U	0.0042 U 0.0042 U 0.0042 U	0.00

Notes:

Exceeds Most Stringent Illinois General Water
Quality Standards
Detection Limit above Screening Level
A = Analyte was detected in the method blank
B = Analyte was detected between the method detection limit and the reporting lim
C = Elevated detection limit due to matrix effect
J = Estimated Value
U = Indicates undetected at concentration lister
NS = Not samplec
R = Non Detected Results Rejected

ENVIRON 11/24/2003 Page 1 of 5



	Parameter		3,3-Dichloro-benzidine	3-Nitro-aniline	4,6-Dinitro-2- methyl-phenol	4-Bromo-phenyl	4-Chloro-3- methyl-phenol	4-Chloro-aniline	4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	4-Methyl- phenol	4-Nitro-aniline	4-Nitro-phenol	Acena-phthene	Acena-phthylene	Acetophenone	Anthra-cene	Atrazine
Screen	ning Levels	mg/L		NAME OF	11111												
Illinois	General Wate	r Quality Standard		_		_					_	_	0 -			1.05	-
Eagle Zinc Com	pany Site Da	ata (mg/L)															
Field ID	Matrix	Collection Date															
SW-ED-11	SW	3/11/2003	0.0028 U	0.0028 U	0.0017 U	0.0036 U	0.0041 U	0.0042 U	0.0047 U	0.002 U	0.0018 U	0.0018 U	0.0046 U	0.0047 U	0.0045 U	0.0028 U	0.0022
SW-ED-13	SW	3/11/2003	0.0028 U	0.0028 U	0.0017 U	0.0036 U	0.0041 U	0.0042 U	0.0047 U	0.002 U	0.0018 U	0.0018 U	0.0046 U	0.0047 U	0.0045 U	0.0028 U	0.0022
SW-WD-10	SW	3/11/2003	0.0028 U	0.0028 U	0.0017 U	0.0036 U	0.0041 U	0.0042 U	0.0047 U	0.002 U	0.0018 U	0.0018 U	0.0046 U	0.0047 U	0.0045 U	0.0028 U	0.0022
SW-WD-7	SW	3/11/2003	0.0028 U	0.0028 U	0.0017 U	0.0036 U	0.0041 U	0.0042 U	0.0047 U	0.002 U	0.0018 U	0.0018 U	0.0046 U	0.0047 U	0.0045 U	0.0028 U	0.0022
SW-WD-7D	SW	3/11/2003	0.0028 U	0.0028 U	0.0017 U	0.0036 U	0.0041 U	0.0042 U	0.0047 U	0.002 U	0.0018 U	0.0018 U	0.0046 U	0.0047 U	0.0045 U	0.0028 U	0.0022
SW-WD-9	SW	3/11/2003	0.0028 U	0.0028 U	0.0017 U	0.0036 U	0.0041 U	0.0042 U	0.0047 U	0.002 U	0.0018 U	0.0018 U	0.0046 U	0.0047 U	0.0045 U	0.0028 U	0.0022
SW-WD-PN	SW	3/11/2003	0.0028 U	0.0028 U	0.0017 U	0.0036 U	0.0041 U	0.0042 U	0.0047 U	0.002 U	0.0018 U	0.0018 U	0.0046 U	0.0047 U	0.0045 U	0.0028 U	0.0022

Notes:

Exceeds Most Stringent Illinois General Water
Quality Standards

Detection Limit above Screening Level

A = Analyte was detected in the method blank

B = Analyte was detected between the method det

C = Elevated detection limit due to matrix effect

J = Estimated Value

U = Indicates undetected at concentration lister

NS = Not samplec

R = Non Detected Results Rejected


ENVIRON 11/24/2003 Page 2 of 5

		(

	Parameter			Benz-alde-hyde	Benzo(a)anthra- cene	Benzo(a)-pyrene	Benzo(b)fluor- anthene	Benzo(g,h,i)- perylene	Benzo-(k)-fluor- anthene
Screen	ning Levels	mg/L	L				a de la		
Illinois	General Wate	r Quality Standard		-		_	-		-
Eagle Zinc Com									
Field ID	Matrix	Collection Date							
SW-ED-11	SW	3/11/2003	U	0.0082 U	0.0017 U	0.0015 U	0.0022 U	0.0021 U	0.0024 U
SW-ED-13	SW	3/11/2003	U	0.0082 U	0.0017 U	0.0015 U	0.0022 U	0.0021 U	0.0024 U
SW-WD-10	SW	3/11/2003	U	0.0082 U	0.0017 U	0.0015 U	0.0022 U	0.0021 U	0.0024 U
SW-WD-7	SW	3/11/2003	U	0.0082 U	0.0017 U	0.0015 U	0.0022 U	0.0021 U	0.0024 U
SW-WD-7D	SW	3/11/2003	U	0.0082 U	0.0017 U	0.0015 U	0.0022 U	0.0021 U	0.0024 U
SW-WD-9	SW	3/11/2003	U	0.0082 U	0.0017 U	0.0015 U	0.0022 U	0.0021 U	0.0024 U
SW-WD-PN	SW	3/11/2003	U	0.0082 U	0.0017 U	0.0015 U	0.0022 U	0.0021 U	0.0024 U

Notes:

Exceeds Most Stringent Illinois General Water
Quality Standards
Detection Limit above Screening Level
A = Analyte was detected in the method blank
B = Analyte was detected between the method det
C = Elevated detection limit due to matrix effect
J = Estimated Value
U = Indicates undetected at concentration lister
NS = Not samplec
R = Non Detected Results Rejected



	1.00													T T		T		T		T	T	T	
Paramete	er	1 19	bis-(2-Chloro- ethoxy)-methane	bis-(2-Chloro- ethyl)-ether	bis(2- Ethylhexyl)phtha late	Butyl-benzyl- phthalate	Caprolactam	Carb-azole	Chrysene	Di-n-butyl-phthalate	Di-n-octyl- phthalate	Dibenzo- (a,h)anthracene	Dibenzofuran	Diethyl-phthalate	Di-methyl- phthalate	Fluoran-thene	Fluorene	Hexa-chloro- benzene	Hexa-chloro- butadiene	Hexa-chloro- cyclopenta-diene	Hexa-chloro-ethane	Indeno-(1,2,3- cd)-pyrene	Isophore
Screening Levels	ls mg/L																	MARKET					
Illinois General Wa	ater Quality	ality Standard		_			-	_						_	-			10-		-	-	-	
anta Tina Camana Sita																							
agle Zinc Company Site	Data ((mg/L)																					
Field ID Matrix		(mg/L)																					
Field ID Matrix	Collecti		0.0045 U	0.00087 U	0.0014 U	0.0018 U	0.0013 U	0.0014 U	0.0018 U	0.0014[U	0.0013 U	0.0024 U	0.0047 L	J 0.0027 U	0.0035 U	0.0016 U	0.0047 U	0.0014 U	0.0029 U	0.0014 U	0.0023 U	0.0016 U	0.004
Field ID Matrix SW-ED-11 SW	Collecti	ollection Date	0.0045 U			0.0018 U		0.0014 U 0.0014 U	0.0018 U	0.0014 U	0.0013 U	0.0024 U	0.0047 L		0.0035 U	0.0016 U 0.0016 U	0.0047 U	0.0014 U 0.0014 U	0.0029 U 0.0029 U	0.0014 U	0.0023 U	0.0016 U	
Field ID Matrix SW-ED-11 SW	3/11/ 3/11/	ollection Date	0.0045 U		0.0014 U	0.0018 U	0.0013U							J 0.0027 U		0.00.0101							0.004
Field ID Matrix SW-ED-11 SW SW-ED-13 SW SW-WD-10 SW	3/11/ 3/11/ 3/11/	3/11/2003 3/11/2003	0.0045 U	0.00087U	0.0014 U 0.0014 U	0.0018 U	0.0013 U 0.0013 U			0.0014U	0.0013 U	0.0024 U	0.0047	0.0027 U 0.0027 U	0.0035 U	0.0016 U	0.0047 U	0.0014U	0.0029 U	0.0014 U	0.0023 U	0.0016 U	0.004
Field ID Matrix SW-ED-11 SW SW-ED-13 SW SW-WD-10 SW SW-WD-7 SW	3/11/ 3/11/ 3/11/ 3/11/ 3/11/	3/11/2003 3/11/2003 3/11/2003	0.0045 U	0.00087 U 0.00087 U 0.00087 U	0.0014 U 0.0014 U 0.0014 U	0.0018 U 0.0018 U	0.0013 U 0.0013 U 0.0013 U	0.0014 U 0.0014 U 0.0014 U		0.0014 U	0.0013 U 0.0013 U	0.0024 U 0.0024 U	0.0047 L	0.0027 U 0.0027 U 0.0027 U	0.0035 U 0.0035 U	0.0016 U 0.0016 U	0.0047 U 0.0047 U	0.0014U	0.0029 U 0.0029 U	0.0014 U	0.0023 U 0.0023 U	0.0016 U 0.0016 U	0.004 0.004
Field ID Matrix SW-ED-11 SW SW-ED-13 SW SW-WD-10 SW SW-WD-7 SW	3/11, 3/11, 3/11, 3/11, 3/11,	3/11/2003 3/11/2003 3/11/2003 3/11/2003	0.0045 U 0.0045 U 0.0045 U 0.0045 U	0.00087 U 0.00087 U 0.00087 U	0.0014 U 0.0014 U 0.0014 U	0.0018 U 0.0018 U 0.0018 U 0.0018 U	0.0013 U 0.0013 U 0.0013 U	0.0014 U 0.0014 U 0.0014 U 0.0014 U	0.0018 U 0.0018 U 0.0018 U 0.0018 U	0.0014 U 0.0014 U 0.0014 U 0.0014 U	0.0013 U 0.0013 U 0.0013 U	0.0024 U 0.0024 U 0.0024 U 0.0024 U	0.0047 U 0.0047 U 0.0047 U	0.0027 U 0.0027 U 0.0027 U 0.0027 U	0.0035 U 0.0035 U 0.0035 U	0.0016 U 0.0016 U 0.0016 U	0.0047 U 0.0047 U 0.0047 U 0.0047 U	0.0014 U 0.0014 U 0.0014 U	0.0029 U 0.0029 U 0.0029 U	0.0014 U 0.0014 U 0.0014 U	0.0023 U 0.0023 U 0.0023 U	0.0016 U 0.0016 U 0.0016 U	0.004 0.004 0.004

Notes:

Exceeds Most Stringent Illinois General Water
Quality Standards
Detection Limit above Screening Level
A = Analyte was detected in the method blank
B = Analyte was detected between the method det
C = Elevated detection limit due to matrix effect
J = Estimated Value
U = Indicates undetected at concentration lister
NS = Not samplec
R = Non Detected Results Rejected

ENVIRON 11/24/2003 Page 4 of 5

		•

Parameter			N-Nitroso-di-n- propylamine	N- Nitrosodiphenylamin e	Naphthalene	Nitro-benzene	Penta-chloro- phenol	Phenanthrene	Phenol	Pyrene
Screen	ning Levels	mg/L								
Illinois	General Water	Quality Standard:					_	_	_	_
	Dany Site Da	ata (mg/L)	II .							
	Matrix									
Field ID	Matrix	Collection Date	0.0043[U	0.0022[U]	0.0038 U	0.0035[U	0.00078[U]	0.0021 U	0.001 u	0.0018
	1		0.0043 U	0.0022 U 0.0022 U	0.0038 U	0.0035 U	0.00078 U		0.001 U 0.001 U	0.0018
Field ID SW-ED-11	Matrix SW	Collection Date 3/11/2003								
Field ID SW-ED-11 SW-ED-13	Matrix SW SW	3/11/2003 3/11/2003	0.0043 U	0.0022U	0.0038 U	0.0035U	0.00078U	0.0021 U 0.0021 U	0.001 U	0.0018
Field ID SW-ED-11 SW-ED-13 SW-WD-10	Matrix SW SW SW	3/11/2003 3/11/2003 3/11/2003	0.0043 U 0.0043 U	0.0022 U 0.0022 U	0.0038 U	0.0035 U	0.00078 U 0.00078 U 0.00078 U	0.0021 U 0.0021 U 0.0021 U	0.001 U 0.001 U	0.0018 0.0018 0.0018
Field ID SW-ED-11 SW-ED-13 SW-WD-10 SW-WD-7	Matrix SW SW SW SW SW	3/11/2003 3/11/2003 3/11/2003 3/11/2003 3/11/2003	0.0043 U 0.0043 U 0.0043 U	0.0022 U 0.0022 U 0.0022 U	0.0038 U 0.0038 U 0.0038 U	0.0035 U 0.0035 U 0.0035 U	0.00078 U 0.00078 U 0.00078 U 0.00078 U	0.0021 U 0.0021 U 0.0021 U 0.0021 U	0.001 U 0.001 U 0.001 U	0.0018

Notes:
Exceeds Most Stringent Illinois General Water
Quality Standards
Detection Limit above Screening Level
A = Analyte was detected in the method blank
B = Analyte was detected between the method det
C = Elevated detection limit due to matrix effect
J = Estimated Value
U = Indicates undetected at concentration lister
NS = Not samplec
R = Non Detected Results Rejected

Page 5 of 5 ENVIRON 11/24/2003

Table IV-3 Residue Sample Results - TCLP Lead Eagle Zinc Company Site, Hillsboro, IL

Field ID	TCLP - Lead mg/L	Collection Date	Matrix
RR2-11-S1	2.2	3/11/2003	Residue
RR2-11-S2	18.0	3/11/2003	Residue
RR2-11-S3	11.0	3/11/2003	Residue
RR2-11-S4	5.4	3/11/2003	Residue
RR2-11-S5	11.0	3/11/2003	Residue
RR2-11-S7	6.9	3/11/2003	Residue
RR2-11-S-6	9.0	3/11/2003	Residue
RR1-3-S2	24.0	3/11/2003	Residue
RR1-3-S1	28.0	3/11/2003	Residue
RR1-3-S1D	23.0	3/11/2003	Residue
MP1-21S1	18.0	3/11/2003	Residue
MP1-21-S2	230.0	3/11/2003	Residue
MP1-21-S3	190.0	3/11/2003	Residue


Notes:


Exceeds Screening Level of 5.0mg/L (RCRA hazardous waste threshold)


Table IV-4
Soil Sample Results - pH
Eagle Zinc Company Site, Hillsboro, IL

]	Collection	
Field ID	pH Units: S.U.	Date	Matrix
P01	6.6	3/11/2003	Soil
P02	7.5	3/11/2003	Soil
P03	5.2	3/12/2003	Soil
P04	5.9	3/12/2003	Soil
P05	5.0	3/12/2003	Soil
P06	4.8	3/12/2003	Soil
P07	6.2	3/12/2003	Soil
P08	4.8	3/12/2003	Soil
P09	4.3	3/12/2003	Soil
P10	5.4	3/13/2003	Soil
MW1	5.1	3/13/2003	Soil
MW2	6.3	3/13/2003	Soil
MW3	7.9	3/14/2003	Soil
MW4	7.7	3/14/2003	Soil
MW5	4.8	3/14/2003	Soil
MW6	7.2	3/15/2003	Soil
MW7	5.9	3/15/2003	Soil
MW8	6.8	3/15/2003	Soil
MW9	7.2	3/15/2003	Soil
MW10	6.0	3/16/2003	Soil

FIGURES







Drafter:

APR

Date: 5/07/03

Contract Number:


: 2


21-7400E Appr

Hillsboro, Illinois


Approved:


Revised:





1			
		C.	
	•		
	·		
			_





APPENDIX A

Piezometer and Monitoring Well Boring/Construction Logs

GEOLOGIC DRILL LOG ENVIRON BOREHOLE NO.: P01 740 Waukegan Rd., Suite 401 TOTAL DEPTH: 14ft. Deerfield, Illinois 60015 Eagle Zinc DRILLING CO.: Philip Environmental Services PROJECT: RIG TYPE: METHOD OF DRILLING: SITE LOCATION: Hillsboro, IL Geoprobe JOB NO.: 21-7400E SAMPLING METHODS: Macrosampler LOGGED BY: Dan Ryan HAMMER WT/DROP DATES DRILLED: 03/10/03 SURVEY LOCATION: **GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION:** LAYER DEPTH (A) SS INTERVAL (A) GRAPHIC LOG RECOVERY SAMPLE ID PID (ppmv) DEPTH (ft) SOIL DESCRIPTION

0-4	3.5	pH sample	3	a ca		SILTY CLAY: Silty clay, little fine sand, little organic debris, yellowish brown
4-7	2.8	d)	5 - ML	-7	CLAYEY SILT: Clayey silt, little fine sand, little organic debris, yellowish brown, moist
7-10	2.9	o	- 1	ML 0-12-13-15 SM	-8.2	SILT: Silt, little clay, little fine sand, brownish gray, wet SILTY SAND: Silty sand, fine, trace gravel, light brown
10-13	3	o		CL	-11.7	SILTY CLAY: Silty clay, little subrounded gravel, very stiff, gray
13-14	1.0	0				

740 Waukegan Road, Suite 401 Deerfield, Illinois 60015

WELL CONSTRUCTION LOG

MONITORING WELL NO .: P 01 TOTAL DEPTH: 14 ft.

PROJECT INFORMATION

PROJECT:

Eagle Zinc

SITE LOCATION:

Hillsboro, IL

JOB NO.:

21-7400E

LOGGED BY:

Dan Ryan

DATE(S) DRILLED: 03/10/03

DRILLING INFORMATION

DRILLING CO.:

Philip Environmental

DRILLER:

Direct Push

RIG TYPE:

Geoprobe


METHOD OF DRILLING: Macrosampler

BORE HOLE DIAMETER: 2"

T.O.C. ELEVATION:

GRAPHIC LOG DEPTH (A) USCS

SURVEY COORDINATES:



740 Waukegan Rd., Suite 401 Deerfield, Illinois 60015

GEOLOGIC DRILL LOG

BOREHOLE NO.: P02 TOTAL DEPTH: 16ft.

PROJECT:

Eagle Zinc

DRILLING CO.:

Philip Environmental Services

SITE LOCATION: JOB NO.:

HШsboro, IL 21-7400E

RIG TYPE: METHOD OF DRILLING:

GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION:

Gcoprobe


LOGGED BY: DATES DRILLED:

Dan Ryan 03/10/03

SAMPLING METHODS: Macrosampler HAMMER WT./DROP

SURVEY LOCATION:

LAYER DEPTH (ft) SS INTERVAL (ft) GRAPHIC LOG RECOVERY SAMPLE ID PID (ppmv) DEPTH (ft)



740 Waukegan Road, Suite 401 Deerfield, Illinois 60015

WELL CONSTRUCTION LOG

MONITORING WELL NO .: P 02 TOTAL DEPTH: 14 ft.

PROJECT INFORMATION

PROJECT:

Eagle Zinc

SITE LOCATION:

Hillsboro, IL

JOB NO.:

21-7400E

LOGGED BY:

Dan Ryan

DATE(S) DRILLED: 03/10/03

SURVEY COORDINATES:

DRILLING INFORMATION

DRILLING CO .:

Philip Environmental

DRILLER:

Direct Push

RIG TYPE:


Geoprobe

METHOD OF DRILLING: Macrosampler

BORE HOLE DIAMETER: 2"

T.O.C. ELEVATION:

GRAPHIC LOG DEPTH (



740 Waukegan Rd., Suite 401 Deerfield, Illinois 60015

GEOLOGIC DRILL LOG

BOREHOLE NO.: P03 TOTAL DEPTH: 16ft.

PROJECT:

Eagle Zinc

DRILLING CO.:

Philip Environmental Services

SITE LOCATION: JOB NO.:

Hillsboro, IL 21-7400E

RIG TYPE: METHOD OF DRILLING: Geoprobe

LOGGED BY:

Dan Ryan DATES DRILLED: 03/11/03

SAMPLING METHODS: Macrosampler

HAMMER WT/DROP GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION:

SURVEY LOCATION:

LAYER DEPTH (ft) SS RECOVERY (ft) SS INTERVAL (A) GRAPHIC LOG SAMPLE ID PID (ppmv) DEPTH (A)

0-4	4	pH sample	o	0	CL		SILTY CLAY: Silty clay, some orange mottling, little subrounded gravel, brown
4-7	3		0	-5		-6	SILTY CLAY: Silty clay, some fine sand, trace gravel, gray, wet
7-10	3		0	-10-	CL		
10-13	1		0			-13	FINE SAND: Fine sand, some clay, some gravel,
13-16	1		0	-15-	SP		brownish gray

740 Waukegan Road, Suite 401 Deerfield, Illinois 60015

WELL CONSTRUCTION LOG

MONITORING WELL NO.:P 03 TOTAL DEPTH: 16 ft.

PROJECT INFORMATION

PROJECT:

Eagle Zinc

SITE LOCATION:

Hillsboro, IL

JOB NO.:

21-7400E

LOGGED BY:

Dan Ryan

DATE(S) DRILLED:

03/11/03

DRILLING CO.:

Philip Environmental

DRILLER: **RIG TYPE:** **Direct Push**

Geoprobe


METHOD OF DRILLING: Macrosampler

DRILLING INFORMATION

BORE HOLE DIAMETER: 2"

T.O.C. ELEVATION:

SURVEY COORDINATES:



GEOLOGIC DRILL LOG ENVIRO BOREHOLE NO.: P04 740 Waukegan Rd., Suite 401 TOTAL DEPTH: 13ft. Deerfield, Illinois 60015 PROJECT: Eagle Zinc DRILLING CO.: Philip Environmental Services RIG TYPE: METHOD OF DRILLING: SITE LOCATION: Hillsboro, IL Geoprobe JOB NO.: 21-7400E SAMPLING METHODS: Macrosampler LOGGED BY: Dan Ryan HAMMER WT./DROP DATES DRILLED: 03/11/03 SURVEY LOCATION: GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION: LAYER DEPTH (ft) SS INTERVAL (ft) GRAPHIC LOG SS RECOVERY PID (ppmv) SOIL DESCRIPTION

0-4	4	pH sample	o		CL	-1	TOPSOIL: Topsoil, some silty clay, little organic debris, light gray SILTY CLAY: Silty clay, some orange mottling, brown
4-7	3	:	o	-5 -		4	SILTY SAND: Silty sand, fine, some clay, little orange mottling, little gravel, wet at -7ft.
7-10	3		o	-10-	SM		
10-13	3		0	Tarabahanan P			

740 Waukegan Road, Suite 401 Deerfield, Illinois 60015

WELL CONSTRUCTION LOG

MONITORING WELL NO .: P 04 TOTAL DEPTH: 13 ft.

PROJECT INFORMATION

PROJECT:

Eagle Zinc

SITE LOCATION: JOB NO.:

Hillsboro, IL 21-7400E

LOGGED BY:

Dan Ryan

DATE(S) DRILLED: 03/11/03 DRILLING CO.:

Philip Environmental

DRILLER:

Direct Push

RIG TYPE:

DRILLING INFORMATION

METHOD OF DRILLING: Macrosampler


Geoprobe

BORE HOLE DIAMETER: 2"


T.O.C. ELEVATION:

SURVEY COORDINATES:

DEPTH (A)



GEOLOGIC DRILL LOG $\mathsf{E}\,\mathsf{N}\,\mathsf{V}\,\mathsf{I}\,\mathsf{R}\,\mathsf{O}$ BOREHOLE NO.: P05 740 Waukegan Rd., Suite 401 TOTAL DEPTH: 15ft. Deerfield, Illinois 60015 PROJECT: Eagle Zinc DRILLING CO.: Philip Environmental Services RIG TYPE: METHOD OF DRILLING: SITE LOCATION: Hillsboro, IL Geoprobe JOB NO .: 21-7400E SAMPLING METHODS: Macrosampler LOGGED BY: Dan Ryan HAMMER WT/DROP DATES DRILLED: 03/11/03 SURVEY LOCATION: GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION: € INTERVAL (ft) GRAPHIC LOG LAYER DEPTH RECOVERY SAMPLE ID (bbmv) SOIL DESCRIPTION USCS PID SS



740 Waukegan Road, Suite 401 Deerfield, Illinois 60015

WELL CONSTRUCTION LOG

MONITORING WELL NO.:P 05 TOTAL DEPTH: 15 ft.

PROJECT INFORMATION

PROJECT:

Eagle Zinc

SITE LOCATION:

Hillsboro, IL

JOB NO.:

21-7400E

LOGGED BY:

Dan Ryan

DATE(S) DRILLED:

03/11/03

DRILLING INFORMATION

DRILLING CO.:

Philip Environmental

DRILLER:

Direct Push

RIG TYPE:

Geoprobe


METHOD OF DRILLING: Macrosampler

BORE HOLE DIAMETER: 2"

T.O.C. ELEVATION:

SURVEY COORDINATES:

GRAPHIC LOG



740 Waukegan Rd., Suite 401 Deerfield, Illinois 60015

GEOLOGIC DRILL LOG

BOREHOLE NO.: P06 TOTAL DEPTH: 16ft.

PROJECT:

Eagle Zinc

DRILLING CO.:

Philip Environmental Services

SITE LOCATION: JOB NO.:

Hillsboro, IL 21-7400E

RIG TYPE: METHOD OF DRILLING:

Geoprobe

LOGGED BY: Dan Ryan DATES DRILLED: 03/11/03

HAMMER WT/DROP

SAMPLING METHODS: Macrosampler

SURVEY LOCATION: **GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION:**

SS INTERVAL (ft)	SS RECOVERY (ft)	SAMPLE ID	PID (ppmv)	DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	USCS	LAYER DEPTH (ft)	SOIL DESCRIPTION

F	T	T		70		T.,	RESIDUE: Plant Residue
j	-		ł			-0.5 -1	TOPSOIL: Topsoil, some silty clay, light gray
0-4	4	pH sample	o				SILTY CLAY: Silty clay, little sand, little gravel, little orange mottling, brownish gray
4-7	2.5		0	-5	a.		
7-10	1.6		o	-10			
10-13	2.7		0	-	SP	-11.3	FINE SAND: Fine sand, some silt, little clay, brownish gray, wet
13-16	2.3		o	-15-		-15.5	SANDY CLAY: Sandy clay, little gravel, light gray, wet

740 Waukegan Road, Suite 401 Deerfield, Illinois 60015

WELL CONSTRUCTION LOG

MONITORING WELL NO .: P 06 TOTAL DEPTH: 16 ft.

PROJECT INFORMATION

PROJECT:

Eagle Zinc

SITE LOCATION:

Hillsboro, IL

JOB NO.:

21-7400E

LOGGED BY:

Dan Ryan

DATE(S) DRILLED: 03/11/03 DRILLING CO.:

Philip Environmental

DRILLER:

Direct Push

RIG TYPE:

Geoprobe

DRILLING INFORMATION


METHOD OF DRILLING: Macrosampler

BORE HOLE DIAMETER: 2"

T.O.C. ELEVATION:

DEPTH (ft) GRAPHIC LOG

SURVEY COORDINATES:



740 Waukegan Rd., Suite 401 Deerfield, Illinois 60015

Eagle Zinc

BOREHOLE NO.: P07 TOTAL DEPTH: 15ft.

DRILLING CO.:

Philip Environmental Services

RIG TYPE: METHOD OF DRILLING: Geoprobe

SAMPLING METHODS: Macrosampler

HAMMER WT./DROP GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION:

PROJECT:

SITE LOCATION: Hillsboro, IL JOB NO.:

LOGGED BY: DATES DRILLED: 03/11/03

21-7400E Dan Ryan

SURVEY LOCATION:

LAYER DEPTH (ft) SS INTERVAL (f) GRAPHIC LOG RECOVERY PID (ppmv) DEPTH (ft) USCS

SOIL DESCRIPTION

GEOLOGIC DRILL LOG

				0	-1.6	RESIDUE: Residue
0-4	2.6	pH sample	0	SM	,,,	SILTY SAND: Silty sand, fine, little rounded gravel, dark gray
4-7	3		0	-5	-4	SILTY CLAY: Silty clay, little to some fine sand, brownish gray, wet at -8ft.
-						
7-10	3		o	La Ca		
10-13	3		0	-10		
13-15	2		0	15		

740 Waukegan Road, Suite 401 Deerfield, Illinois 60015

WELL CONSTRUCTION LOG

MONITORING WELL NO.:P 07 TOTAL DEPTH: 15 ft.

PROJECT INFORMATION

PROJECT:

Eagle Zinc

SITE LOCATION: JOB NO.:

Hillsboro, IL 21-7400E

LOGGED BY:

Dan Ryan

DATE(S) DRILLED:

03/11/03

DRILLING INFORMATION

DRILLING CO.:

Philip Environmental

DRILLER:

Direct Push

RIG TYPE:

Geoprobe


METHOD OF DRILLING: Macrosampler

BORE HOLE DIAMETER: 2"

T.O.C. ELEVATION:

GRAPHIC LOG

SURVEY COORDINATES:



740 Waukegan Rd., Suite 401 Deerfield, Illinois 60015

GEOLOGIC DRILL LOG

BOREHOLE NO.: P08 TOTAL DEPTH: 15.5ft.

PROJECT:

SITE LOCATION: Hillsbore, IL JOB NO.:

Eagle Zinc

LOGGED BY: DATES DRILLED: 03/11/03

21-7400E Dan Ryan DRILLING CO.:

Philip Environmental Services

RIG TYPE:

Geoprobe

METHOD OF DRILLING:

SAMPLING METHODS: Macrosampler

HAMMER WT/DROP

GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION:

SURVEY LOCATION:

LAYER DEPTH (ft) RECOVERY (R) SS INTERVAL (ft) GRAPHIC LOG SAMPLE ID PID (ppmv) DEPTH (ft) USCS SS

	7	T	T	70		\top	RESIDUE: Plant Residue
0-4	4	pH sample	o			-1	SILTY CLAY: Silty clay, little fine sand, little orange organic mottling, trace gravel, brownish gray, moist
4-7	3		0	-5 -	CL		
7-10	3		O	-10-		-9.5	FINE SAND: Fine sand, little gravel, little clay, brownish orange, wet
10-13	3		o		SP		
13-15.5	2.5		0	-15	Cr	-13.5	SANDY CLAY: Sandy clay, some gravel, brownish gray, wet

740 Waukegan Road, Suite 401 Deerfield, Illinois 60015

WELL CONSTRUCTION LOG

MONITORING WELL NO .: P 08 TOTAL DEPTH: 14 ft.

PROJECT INFORMATION

PROJECT:

Eagle Zinc

SITE LOCATION: JOB NO.:

Hillsboro, IL 21-7400E

LOGGED BY:

Dan Ryan

DATE(S) DRILLED:

03/11/03

DRILLING INFORMATION

DRILLING CO.:

Philip Environmental

DRILLER:

Direct Push

RIG TYPE:


Geoprobe

METHOD OF DRILLING: Macrosampler


BORE HOLE DIAMETER: 2"

T.O.C. ELEVATION:

SURVEY COORDINATES:



GEOLOGIC DRILL LOG ENVIRON BOREHOLE NO.: P09 740 Waukegan Rd., Suite 401 TOTAL DEPTH: 16ft. Deerfield, Illinois 60015 PROJECT: **Eagle Zinc** DRILLING CO.: Philip Environmental Services SITE LOCATION: Hillsboro, IL JOB NO.: 21-7400E RIG TYPE: Geoprobe METHOD OF DRILLING: SAMPLING METHODS: Macrosampler LOGGED BY: Dan Ryan HAMMER WT./DROP DATES DRILLED: 03/11/03 SURVEY LOCATION: GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION: AYER DEPTH (A) RECOVERY (ft) INTERVAL (#) SAMPLE 1D PID (ppmv) DEPTH (ft) SOIL DESCRIPTION



740 Waukegan Road, Suite 401 Deerfield, Illinois 60015

WELL CONSTRUCTION LOG

MONITORING WELL NO.:P 09 TOTAL DEPTH: 16 ft.

PROJECT INFORMATION

PROJECT:

Eagle Zinc

SITE LOCATION:

Hillsboro, IL

JOB NO.:

21-7400E

LOGGED BY:

Dan Ryan 03/11/03

DRILLING CO.:

Philip Environmental

DRILLER:

Direct Push

RIG TYPE:

Geoprobe

DRILLING INFORMATION

METHOD OF DRILLING: Macrosampler


BORE HOLE DIAMETER: 2"

T.O.C. ELEVATION:

DATE(S) DRILLED:

DEPTH (ft) GRAPHIC LOG

SURVEY COORDINATES:



740 Waukegan Rd., Suite 401 Deerfield, Illinois 60015

GEOLOGIC DRILL LOG

BOREHOLE NO.: P10 TOTAL DEPTH: 18ft.

PROJECT: SITE LOCATION:

Eagle Zinc Hillsboro, IL 21-7400E

DRILLING CO.:

Philip Environmental Services

Geoprobe

RIG TYPE: METHOD OF DRILLING:

SAMPLING METHODS: Macrosampler

LOGGED BY: DATES DRILLED: Dan Ryan 03/12/03


HAMMER WT/DROP

GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION:


SURVEY LOCATION:

JOB NO.:

LAYER DEPTH(ft) € INTERVAL (ft) GRAPHIC LOG RECOVERY SAMPLE ID PID (ppmv) DEPTH (ft) USCS



WELL CONSTRUCTION LOG MONITORING WELL NO.:P 10 740 Waukegan Road, Suite 401 Deerfield, Illinois 60015 TOTAL DEPTH: 18 ft. PROJECT INFORMATION **DRILLING INFORMATION** PROJECT: Eagle Zinc DRILLING CO.: Philip Environmental SITE LOCATION: DRILLER: Hillsboro, IL **Direct Push** JOB NO.: 21-7400E RIG TYPE: Geoprobe METHOD OF DRILLING: Macrosampler LOGGED BY: Dan Ryan **BORE HOLE DIAMETER: 2"** DATE(S) DRILLED: 03/12/03 T.O.C. ELEVATION: **SURVEY COORDINATES:** GRAPHIC LOG WELL CONSTRUCTION



740 Waukegan Rd., Suite 401 Deerfield, Illinois 60015

GEOLOGIC DRILL LOG

BOREHOLE NO.: MW1 TOTAL DEPTH: 15ft.

PROJECT:

Eagle Zinc Hillsboro, IL DRILLING CO.:

Philip Environmental Services

SITE LOCATION: JOB NO.:

21-7400E Dan Ryan RIG TYPE: METHOD OF DRILLING:

Geoprobe

LOGGED BY: DATES DRILLED: 03/12/03

SAMPLING METHODS: Macrosampler

HAMMER WT./DROP GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION:

SURVEY LOCATION:

SS

LAYER DEPTH (ft) RECOVERY (ft) SS INTERVAL (A) GRAPHIC LOG SAMPLE ID DEPTH (ft) PID (ppmv) USCS

				 0			
ı	- 1	1	1	- 1		-0.7	RESIDUE: Plant Residue
	ļ				SM	- 1	SILT: Silt, little fine sand, little clay, gray
0-4	4	pH sample	0			-1.6	SILTY CLAY: Silty clay, little fine sand, little gravel, little orange mottling, brown
4-7	3	•	0	-5	CL.		
7-10	2		0	-10-	CL	-7.5	SANDY CLAY: Sandy clay, little gravel, little silt, brown, wet
10-13	3		0	-	SP	-11	FINE SAND: Fine sand, some clay, little gravel, light brown, wet
13-15	2		0	-15-	CL_	-14.5	SILTY CLAY: Silty clay, some fine sand, brown, wet

ϵ NVIRO

740 Waukegan Road, Suite 401 Deerfield, Illinois 60015

WELL CONSTRUCTION LOG

MONITORING WELL NO.: MW1 TOTAL DEPTH: 15ft.

PROJECT INFORMATION

PROJECT:

Eagle Zinc

SITE LOCATION:

Hillsboro, IL

JOB NO.:

21-7400E

LOGGED BY:

Dan Ryan 03/12/03

DATE(S) DRILLED:

DRILLING INFORMATION

DRILLING CO.:

Philip Environmental

DRILLER:

Jerry

RIG TYPE:

D-75


METHOD OF DRILLING: Hollow Stem Auger

BORE HOLE DIAMETER: 6"

SURVEY COORDINATES:

T.O.C. ELEVATION:

GRAPHIC LOG EPTH (ft)



ENVIRO 740 Waukegan Rd., Suite 401

Deerfield, Illinois 60015

GEOLOGIC DRILL LOG BOREHOLE NO.: MW2 TOTAL DEPTH: 15ft.

PROJECT: SITE LOCATION: JOB NO.:

DATES DRILLED: 03/12/03

LOGGED BY:

Eagle Zinc Hillsboro, IL 21-7400E Dan Ryan

DRILLING CO.:

Philip Environmental Services

Geoprobe

RIG TYPE: METHOD OF DRILLING: SAMPLING METHODS: Macrosampler

HAMMER WT/DROP GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION:

SURVEY LOCATION:

LAYER DEPTH (ft) RECOVERY (ft) SS INTERVAL (ft) GRAPHIC LOG SAMPLE ID PID (ppmv) DEPTH (ft)

]°			RESIDUE: Plant Residue
0-4	3		0		SM	-1.8 -2.3	SILT: Silt, little clay, trace gravel, gray
		pH sample					SILTY CLAY: Silty clay, little sand, little orange mottling, gray, wet
				-5 -	CL.		
4-7			0			-7	
							CLAYEY SAND: Clayey sand, fine, little gravel, little orange mottling, gray, wet
7-10	2		0	-10-			
					SP SP		
10-13	0.5		0	Popular King			
13-15	2		0	NAME OF THE PARTY			
13-15			1	15			

740 Waukegan Road, Suite 401 Deerfield, Illinois 60015

WELL CONSTRUCTION LOG

DRILLING INFORMATION

Jerry

Philip Environmental

MONITORING WELL NO.: MW2 TOTAL DEPTH: 15ft.

PROJECT INFORMATION

PROJECT:

Eagle Zinc

SITE LOCATION: JOB NO.:

Hillsboro, IL 21-7400E

LOGGED BY:

Dan Ryan 03/12/03

DATE(S) DRILLED:

SURVEY COORDINATES:

D-75 RIG TYPE:

METHOD OF DRILLING: Hollow Stem Auger

BORE HOLE DIAMETER: 6"


T.O.C. ELEVATION:

GRAPHIC LOG DEPTH (

WELL CONSTRUCTION

DRILLING CO.:

DRILLER:



740 Waukegan Rd., Suite 401 Deerfield, Illinois 60015

GEOLOGIC DRILL LOG

BOREHOLE NO.: MW3 TOTAL DEPTH: 16ft.

PROJECT:

LOGGED BY:

DATES DRILLED:

Eagle Zinc

03/13/03

DRILLING CO.:

Philip Environmental Services

SITE LOCATION. JOB NO.:

Hillsboro, IL 21-7400E Dan Ryan

RIG TYPE. D-75
METHOD OF DRILLING: Hollow Stem Auger


SAMPLING METHODS: Split Spoon HAMMER WT./DROP

150 lbs

SURVEY LOCATION:

GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION:

TERVAL (A)	OVERY (#)	LE ID	pmv)	TH (ft)	HIC LOG		ER DEPTH (A)
INTER	RECOV	MPLE	mdd) Q	EPTH (RAPHIC	JSCS	YER D



740 Waukegan Road, Suite 401 Deerfield, Illinois 60015

WELL CONSTRUCTION LOG

MONITORING WELL NO.: MW3

TOTAL DEPTH: 16ft.

PROJECT INFORMATION

PROJECT:

Eagle Zinc

SITE LOCATION:

Hillsboro, IL

JOB NO.:

21-7400E

LOGGED BY:

Dan Ryan 03/13/03

DATE(S) DRILLED: 0

DRILLING CO.:

DRILLING INFORMATION

Philip Environmental Service

DRILLER:

Jerry

RIG TYPE:

D-75

OTIFE.


METHOD OF DRILLING: Hollow Stem Auger

BORE HOLE DIAMETER: 6"

T.O.C. ELEVATION:

GRAPHIC LOG DEPTH (ft) SURVEY COORDINATES:

WELL CONSTRUCTION



Cap

Protective Casing

Concrete Pad

Bentonite Seal

PVC Riser

Sand Pack

PVC screen (-16ft. to -6ft.)

Water Level (-10.38ft.)

ENVIRON **GEOLOGIC DRILL LOG** BOREHOLE NO.: MW4 740 Waukegan Rd., Suite 401 TOTAL DEPTH: 11ft. Deerfield, Illinois 60015 PROJECT: Eagle Zinc DRILLING CO.: Philip Environmental Services RIG TYPE: D-75 METHOD OF DRILLING: Hollow Stem Auger SITE LOCATION: Hillsboro, IL JOB NO.: 21-7400E SAMPLING METHODS: Split Spoon LOGGED BY: Dan Ryan HAMMER WT/DROP 150 lbs DATES DRILLED: 03/13/03 SURVEY LOCATION: GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION: LAYER DEPTH (ft) € SS INTERVAL (#) **GRAPHIC LOG** RECOVERY PID (ppmv) DEPTH (ft) SOIL DESCRIPTION

0-2	0.8	0			RESIDUE: Plant Residue
2-4	0.6 pH sample	0		-3	SILTY CLAY: Silty clay, some fine sand, little gravel, dark gray, wet
4-6	1.0	0	_5	-6	Augered Interval
9- 11	2	o	-10CL	-9	SILTY CLAY: Silty clay, some fine sand, little gravel, dark gray, wet

740 Waukegan Road, Suite 401 Deerfield, Illinois 60015

WELL CONSTRUCTION LOG

MONITORING WELL NO.: MW4 TOTAL DEPTH: 11ft.

PROJECT INFORMATION

PROJECT:

Eagle Zinc

SITE LOCATION:

Hillsboro, IL

JOB NO.:

21-7400E

LOGGED BY:

Dan Ryan

DATE(S) DRILLED:

03/13/03

DRILLING INFORMATION

DRILLING CO.:

Philip Environmental

DRILLER:

Jerry

RIG TYPE:

D-75


METHOD OF DRILLING: Hollow Stem Auger

BORE HOLE DIAMETER: 6"

T.O.C. ELEVATION:

DEPTH (A)

SURVEY COORDINATES:



740 Waukegan Rd., Suite 401 Deerfield, Illinois 60015

GEOLOGIC DRILL LOG

BOREHOLE NO.: MW5 TOTAL DEPTH: 16ft.

PROJECT: SITE LOCATION: JOB NO .:

DATES DRILLED:

Eagle Zinc Hillsboro, IL 21-7400E Dan Ryan

03/13/03

DRILLING CO.: RIG TYPE:

GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION:

Philip Environmental Services D-75


HAMMER WT/DROP

METHOD OF DRILLING: Hollow Stem Auger SAMPLING METHODS: Split Spoon 150 lbs

SURVEY LOCATION:

LOGGED BY:

LAYER DEPTH(f) € GRAPHIC LOG RECOVERY SAMPLE ID PID (ppmv) DEPTH (ft) **USCS**



740 Waukegan Road, Suite 401 Deerfield, Illinois 60015

WELL CONSTRUCTION LOG

MONITORING WELL NO.: MW5 TOTAL DEPTH: 16 ft.

PROJECT INFORMATION

PROJECT:

Eagle Zinc

SITE LOCATION:

Hillsboro, IL 21-7400E

JOB NO.: LOGGED BY:

Dan Ryan

DATE(S) DRILLED:

03/13/03

DRILLING INFORMATION

Philip Environmental

DRILLER:

DRILLING CO .:

Jerry

RIG TYPE:

D-75


METHOD OF DRILLING: Hollow Stem Auger

BORE HOLE DIAMETER: 6"

T.O.C. ELEVATION:


GRAPHIC LOG

SURVEY COORDINATES:



GEOLOGIC DRILL LOG BOREHOLE NO.: MW6 740 Waukegan Rd., Suite 401 TOTAL DEPTH: 15ft. Deerfield, Illinois 60015 PROJECT: Eagle Zinc DRILLING CO.: Philip Environmental Services RIG TYPE: D-75 METHOD OF DRILLING: Hollow Stem Auger SITE LOCATION: Hillsboro, IL JOB NO.: 21-7400E SAMPLING METHODS: Split Spoon LOGGED BY: Dan Ryan HAMMER WT/DROP 150 lbs DATES DRILLED: 03/14/03 SURVEY LOCATION: GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION: LAYER DEPTH (ft) RECOVERY (ft) GRAPHIC LOG

SOIL DESCRIPTION



INTERVAL (ft)

NVIRO

740 Waukegan Road, Suite 401 Deerfield, Illinois 60015

WELL CONSTRUCTION LOG

MONITORING WELL NO.: MW6 TOTAL DEPTH: 15 ft.

PROJECT INFORMATION

PROJECT:

Eagle Zinc

SITE LOCATION:

Hillsboro, IL 21-7400E

JOB NO.:

Dan Ryan

LOGGED BY: DATE(S) DRILLED:

03/14/03

DRILLER: RIG TYPE:

DRILLING CO.:

Philip Environmental

Jerry

DRILLING INFORMATION


D-75

METHOD OF DRILLING: Hollow Stem Auger

BORE HOLE DIAMETER: 6"

T.O.C. ELEVATION:

SURVEY COORDINATES:



ENVIRON

740 Waukegan Rd., Suite 401 Deerfield, Illinois 60015

GEOLOGIC DRILL LOG

BOREHOLE NO.: MW7
TOTAL DEPTH: 16ft

PROJECT: SITE LOCATION: JOB NO.:

Eagle Zinc Hillsboro, IL 21-7400E Dan Ryan

03/14/03

DRILLING CO.: RIG TYPE: Philip Environmental Services

RIG 1 YPE: D-75
METHOD OF DRILLING: Hollow Stem Auger

SAMPLING METHODS: Split Spoon HAMMER WT./DROP 150 lbs

GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION:


SURVEY LOCATION:

LOGGED BY:

DATES DRILLED:

SS INTERVAL (ft)
SS RECOVERY (ft)
SAMPLE ID
DEPTH (ft)
GRAPHIC LOG
USCS
LAYER DEPTH (ft)

SOIL DESCRIPTION



740 Waukegan Road, Suite 401 Deerfield, Illinois 60015

WELL CONSTRUCTION LOG

MONITORING WELL NO.: MW 7 TOTAL DEPTH: 16 ft.

PROJECT INFORMATION

PROJECT:

Eagle Zinc

SITE LOCATION: JOB NO.:

Hillsboro, IL 21-7400E

LOGGED BY:

Dan Ryan

DATE(S) DRILLED:

03/14/03

DRILLING INFORMATION

DRILLING CO.:

Philip Environmental

DRILLER:

Jerry

RIG TYPE:

D-75


METHOD OF DRILLING: Hollow Stem Auger

BORE HOLE DIAMETER: 6"

SURVEY COORDINATES:

T.O.C. ELEVATION:

GRAPHIC LOG



740 Waukegan Rd., Suite 401 Deerfield, Illinois 60015

GEOLOGIC DRILL LOG

BOREHOLE NO.: MW8 TOTAL DEPTH: 26 ft.

PROJECT: SITE LOCATION:

Eagle Zinc Hillsboro, IL DRILLING CO.:

Philip Environmental Services

JOB NO.:

21-7400E Dan Ryan RIG TYPE: D-75
METHOD OF DRILLING: Hollow Stem Auger

GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION:

LOGGED BY: DATES DRILLED: 03/14/03


HAMMER WT./DROP

SAMPLING METHODS: Split Spoon 150 lbs

SURVEY LOCATION:

CAYER DEPTH (ft) INTERVAL (ft) € GRAPHIC LOG RECOVERY PID (ppmv) DEPTH (ft) USCS

SOIL DESCRIPTION



740 Waukegan Road, Suite 401 Deerfield, Illinois 60015

WELL CONSTRUCTION LOG

DRILLING INFORMATION

Jerry

Philip Environmental

MONITORING WELL NO.: MW8 TOTAL DEPTH: 26 ft.

PROJECT INFORMATION

PROJECT:

Eagle Zinc

SITE LOCATION: JOB NO.:

Hillsboro, IL

LOGGED BY:

Dan Ryan 03/14/03

DATE(S) DRILLED:

21-7400E

BORE HOLE DIAMETER: 6" SURVEY COORDINATES:

DRILLER: RIG TYPE:


DRILLING CO.:

D-75

METHOD OF DRILLING: Hollow Stem Auger

T.O.C. ELEVATION:

GRAPHIC LOG



ENVIRO

740 Waukegan Rd., Suite 401 Deerfield, Illinois 60015

GEOLOGIC DRILL LOG

BOREHOLE NO.: MW9 TOTAL DEPTH: 21ft.

PROJECT: SITE LOCATION:

Eagle Zinc Hillsboro, IL 21-7400E Dan Ryan

DRILLING CO.: RIG TYPE:

Philip Environmental Services

METHOD OF DRILLING: Hollow Stem Auger

SAMPLING METHODS: Split Spoon HAMMER WT./DROP 150 lbs


JOB NO.:

LOGGED BY: 03/14/03 DATES DRILLED:

SURVEY LOCATION:

GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION:

LAYER DEPTH (ft) INTERVAL (#) € GRAPHIC LOG SS RECOVERY SAMPLE ID PID (ppmv) DEPTH (ft) SOIL DESCRIPTION



ϵ NVIRO

740 Waukegan Road, Suite 401 Deerfield, Illinois 60015

WELL CONSTRUCTION LOG

MONITORING WELL NO.: MW 9 TOTAL DEPTH: 21 ft.

PROJECT INFORMATION

PROJECT:

Eagle Zinc

SITE LOCATION: JOB NO.:

Hillsboro, IL 21-7400E

LOGGED BY:

Dan Ryan

DATE(S) DRILLED: 03/14/03

DRILLING INFORMATION

DRILLING CO.:

Philip Environmental

DRILLER:

Jerry

RIG TYPE:

D-75

METHOD OF DRILLING: Hollow Stem Auger


BORE HOLE DIAMETER: 6"

T.O.C. ELEVATION:


DEPTH (ft) GRAPHIC LOG

WELL CONSTRUCTION

SURVEY COORDINATES:



GEOLOGIC DRILL LOG ENVIRO BOREHOLE NO.: MW10 740 Waukegan Rd., Suite 401 TOTAL DEPTH: 16ft Deerfield, Illinois 60015 PROJECT: Eagle Zinc DRILLING CO.: Philip Environmental Services SITE LOCATION: RIG TYPE: D-75 METHOD OF DRILLING: Hollow Stem Auger RIG TYPE: Hillsboro, IL JOB NO.: 21-7400E SAMPLING METHODS: Split Spoon LOGGED BY: Dan Ryan HAMMER WT/DROP 150 lbs DATES DRILLED: 03/15/03 SURVEY LOCATION: **GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION:** LAYER DEPTH (ft) € INTERVAL (ft) GRAPHIC LOG RECOVERY SAMPLE ID DEPTH (ft) PID (ppmv) SOIL DESCRIPTION USCS



ENVIRO

650 Dundee Road, Suite 150 Northbrook, Ilinois 60062

WELL CONSTRUCTION LOG

MONITORING WELL NO. MW 10 TOTAL DEPTH: 15 ft.

PROJECT INFORMATION

PROJECT: SITE LOCATION:

Eagle Zinc Hillsboro, IL

JOB NO.:

21-7400E

LOGGED BY: DATE(S) DRILLED:

Dan Ryan 03/15/03

DRILLING INFORMATION

DRILLING CO.:

Philip Environmental

DRILLER:


Јеггу

RIG TYPE:

D-75

METHOD OF DRILLING: Hollow Stem Auger

BORE HOLE DIAMETER: 6"



ENVIRON

650 Dundee Road, Suite 150 Northbrook, Ilinois 60062

WELL CONSTRUCTION LOG

MONITORING WELL NO.MW-11 TOTAL DEPTH: 12 ft.

PROJECT INFORMATION

PROJECT:

Eagle Zinc

SITE LOCATION:

JOB NO.:

21-7400E

LOGGED BY: DATE(S) DRILLED: Dan Ryan 6/19/03

) DRILLED: 6/19/0

DRILLING INFORMATION

DRILLING CO.:

Phillip Environmental

DRILLER:

Craig

RIG TYPE:


Auger

METHOD OF DRILLING: Hollow Stem Auger

BORE HOLE DIAMETER: 6"



SURVEY COORDINATES:



GEOLOGIC DRILL LOG BOREHOLE NO.: MW-11 740 Waukegan Rd., Suite 401 TOTAL DEPTH: 12 ft. Deerfield, Illinois 60015 PROJECT: Eagle Zinc DRILLING CO.: Philip Environmental SITE LOCATION: RIG TYPE: Auger METHOD OF DRILLING: Hollow Stem Auger Hillsboro, IL JOB NO.: 21-7400E SAMPLING METHODS: Split Spoon LOGGED BY: Dan Ryan HAMMER WT./DROP DATES DRILLED: 150 lbs 6/19/03 SURVEY LOCATION: GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION: LAYER DEPTH (ft) RECOVERY (ft) SS INTERVAL (ft) GRAPHIC LOG SAMPLE ID PID (ppm) DEPTH (ft) SOIL DESCRIPTION RESIDUE: Residue. 0-5 5 -5 -5-SILTY CLAY: Silty clay, little fine sand, little gravel, orange brown, moist. CL 0 \mathbf{x} -7 0 FINE SAND: Fine sand, little silt, little clay, little gravel, poorly sorted, wet. SP 5-10 5 -8 SILTY CLAY: Silty clay, little fine sand, little gravel, orange brown, wet. -10 -CL 10-13 3

GEOLOGIC DRILL LOG ENVIRON BOREHOLE NO.: TW-5 740 Waukegan Rd., Suite 401 TOTAL DEPTH: 14 ft. Deerfield, Illinois 60015 PROJECT. Eagle Zinc DRILLING CO.: Philip Environmental RIG TYPE: Geoprobe METHOD OF DRILLING: Direct Push SITE LOCATION: Hillsboro, IL JOB NO.: 21-7400E SAMPLING METHODS: Macrosampler LOGGED BY: Dan Ryan HAMMER WT/DROP DATES DRILLED: 6/19/03 SURVEY LOCATION: GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION: LAYER DEPTH (ft) RECOVERY (ft) SS INTERVAL (ft) GRAPHIC LOG PID (ppm) DEPTH (ft) SOIL DESCRIPTION TOPSOIL: Silty clay topsoil, black. -0.8 SILTY CLAY: Silty clay, little gravel, little fine sand, 0 orange brown, moist. 0-4 0 0 -5 -0 CL 4-8 4 0 X 0 -10 -8-12 -11.8 SP FINE SAND: Fine sand, little subrounded gravel, wet. -12.2 SILTY CLAY: Silty clay, some fine sand, some subrounded gravel, wet. CL 12-14 2

ENVIRON

740 Waukegan Road, Suite 401 Deerfield, Illinois 60015

WELL CONSTRUCTION LOG

MONITORING WELL NO.:TW-5
TOTAL DEPTH: 14ft.

PROJECT INFORMATION

PROJECT:

Eagle Zinc

SITE LOCATION:

JOB NO.:

21-7400E

LOGGED BY:

Dan Ryan

DATE(S) DRILLED: 6/19/03

DRILLING INFORMATION

DRILLING CO.:

Phillip Environmental

DRILLER:

Craig

RIG TYPE:

Geoprobe


METHOD OF DRILLING: Direct Push

BORE HOLE DIAMETER: 2"

T.O.C. ELEVATION:

USCS GRAPHIC LOG DEPTH (ft)

SURVEY COORDINATES:



GEOLOGIC DRILL LOG RO BOREHOLE NO.: TW-6 740 Waukegan Rd., Suite 401 TOTAL DEPTH: 30 ft. Deerfield, Illinois 60015 PROJECT: Eagle Zinc DRILLING CO.: Philip Environmental SITE LOCATION: Hillsboro, IL RIG TYPE: Geoprobe METHOD OF DRILLING: Direct Push JOB NO.: 21-7400E SAMPLING METHODS: Macrosampler LOGGED BY: Dan Ryan HAMMER WT./DROP DATES DRILLED: 6/19/03 SURVEY LOCATION: GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION: LAYER DEPTH (ft) SS RECOVERY (ft) SS INTERVAL (ft) GRAPHIC LOG PID (ppm) SAMPLE ID DEPTH (ft) SOIL DESCRIPTION FILL: Sandy fill with concrete debris. -10 \mathbf{x} -24 SILT: Silt, some fine to medium sand, wet. -25 SM 24-28 4

WELL CONSTRUCTION LOG RO MONITORING WELL NO.:TW-6 650 Dundee Road, Suite 150 TOTAL DEPTH:30 ft. Northbrook, Ilinois 60062 PROJECT INFORMATION DRILLING INFORMATION DRILLING CO.: Phillip Environmental PROJECT: **Eagle Zinc** DRILLER: SITE LOCATION: Craig JOB NO.: 21-7400E **RIG TYPE:** Geoprobe METHOD OF DRILLING: Direct Push LOGGED BY: Dan Ryan **BORE HOLE DIAMETER: 2"** DATE(S) DRILLED: 6/19/03 T.O.C. ELEVATION: SURVEY COORDINATES: GRAPHIC LOG WELL CONSTRUCTION Cap 0 Bentonite Seal -5 -10 -15 -20 Sand Pack -25 SM 10 slot 40 schedule I" PVC screen

ENVIRO

740 Waukegan Rd., Suite 401 Deerfield, Illinois 60015

GEOLOGIC DRILL LOG

BOREHOLE NO.: TW-7 TOTAL DEPTH: 20ft.

PROJECT:

LOGGED BY:

DATES DRILLED: 6/19/03

Eagle Zinc SITE LOCATION: Hillsboru, IL 21-7400E

Dan Ryan

Philip Environmental

DRILLING CO.: Philip Envir RIG TYPE: Geoprobe METHOD OF DRILLING: Direct Push SAMPLING METHODS: Macrosampler

HAMMER WT./DROP **GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION:**

SURVEY LOCATION:

JOB NO.:

SS RECOVERY (ft) SS INTERVAL (A) GRAPHIC LOG LAYER DEPTH SAMPLE ID DEPTH (ft) PID (ppmv) USCS

SOIL DESCRIPTION

			 70	25 CA 17 LE		T TOPOOUT C'ILL I I I I I I	
0-4			ŀ	X	-0.8	TOPSOIL: Silty clay topsoil, black.	
	- 1		- 1	ti-ii-ida	70.0	SILTY CLAY: Silty clay, some fine sand, light brown,	
	1			3202022	-1.8	moist.	
	2.5	0		SP		FINE SAND: Fine sand, some organic debris, dark brown to black.	
J	1		J	Para de la Carta d	-4	CH TV CLAV. Claveler link Conservations	
		. 0	-5	CL.		SILTY CLAY: Silty clay, little fine sand, little gravel, orange brown, moist.	
1	2.5		ł	SP	-5.5 -6	FINE SAND: Fine sand, little gravel, poorly sorted, moist.	
4-8	2.5				- 1	SILTY CLAY: Silty clay, some fine sand, brown, moist.	
		1	- 1		1		
ł	1		j	<u>∤</u>			
1		ĺ	ł		ì		
8-12]]	j	j	[:-:]CL	1).	
	1.		-10-	₽±±±±			
	4		1			}	
ł	[]	1		[` T÷]	1445		
i		1		SP SP	-11.5 -12	FINE SAND: Fine sand, little gravel, brown, moist.	
1	1 1	İ	1.	CL CL	1.	SILTY CLAY: Silty clay, little fine sand, moist.	
1	1 1			SP	-13.2 -13.8	FINE SAND: Fine sand, some silt, some clay, brown, wet.	
12-16	4	1)]		1.0.0	CLAYEY SILT: Clayey silt, little fine sand, dark brown,	
	1 1		-15-	ML		wet.	
	1 1	ļ.	1 1	Tremple Sales and Sales	1		
			1 1	SP	-16	FINE SAND: Fine sand, little gravel, wet.	
		j	1 1		-17	SILTY CLAY: Silty clay, little gravel, dark gray, wet.	
16-20		[1 1		1 1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	4]	1 1	CL	1 1	l l	
				I+I+I		ł	
	'		1 20 5		1 1	i	
			ــــ20_ لــ				

740 Waukegan Road, Suite 401 Deerfield, Illinois 60015

WELL CONSTRUCTION LOG

MONITORING WELL NO.:TW-7 TOTAL DEPTH: 20ft.

PROJECT INFORMATION

PROJECT:

Eagle Zinc

SITE LOCATION:

JOB NO.:

21-7400E

LOGGED BY:

Dan Ryan

DATE(S) DRILLED:

6/19/03

DRILLING CO.:

Phillip Environmental

DRILLER:

Craig


DRILLING INFORMATION

RIG TYPE: Geoprobe METHOD OF DRILLING: Direct Push

BORE HOLE DIAMETER: 2"

SURVEY COORDINATES:

T.O.C. ELEVATION:



APPENDIX B

Monitoring Well Sampling Details

Appendix B
Monitoring Well Sampling Details
Eagle Zinc Company Site, Hillsboro, Illinois

Well Number	Sampling Date	Purge Start/End	Calculated Volume to be Purged (gallons)	Volume Purged (gallons)	Purge Rate (gallons/minute)	pH (Standard Units)	Specific Conductance (umhos/cm)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	Water Temperature (degrees C)	Color
MW1	03/19/03	10:38 / 11:02	5.7	6	0.25	6.71	1.520	0.00	12.6	Clear
MW2	03/18/03	14:18 / 14:48	4.23	4.5	0.15	6.92	1.270	8.19	10.9	Clear
MW3	03/18/03	16:01 / 16:23	4.35	4.5	0.20	6.98	1.850	2.15	14.2	Clear
MW4	03/18/03	15:02 / 15:35	4.31	4.5	0.14	7.40	0.577	9.16	11.4	Clear
MW5	03/18/03	13:43 / 14:09	4.18	4.5	0.17	6.53	0.818	0.00	12.9	Clear
MW6	03/18/03	16:40 / 17:06	5.73	6	0.23	7.09	2.280	0.00	9.7	Clear
MW7	03/18/03	18:18 / 18:53	6.12	6.5	0.19	6.47	1.460	1.00	11.9	Clear
MW8	03/19/03	8:57 / 9:32	2.73	3	0.09	6.64	1.330	6.74	5.0	Clear
MW9	03/19/03	9:55 / 10:16	4.96	5	0.24	7.02	3.310	9.48	12.6	Clear
MW10	03/18/03	7:15 / 7:56	4.80	5	0.12	6.00	0.116	16.58	8.7	Clear
MW11	06/20/03	13:43 / 13:50	1.80	2	0.29	13.65	0.992	16.27	18.5	Clear
G101	03/18/03	9:39 / 9:55	3.00	3	0.19	7.33	0.732	13.70	11.2	Clear
G102	03/18/03	10:24 / 11:00	6.66	7	0.19	7.05	1.19	7.70	10.0	Clear
G103	03/19/03	7:27 / 7:49	5.62	6	0.27	6.72	1.600	0.00	9.1	Clear
G104	03/18/03	11:22 / 11:46	4.23	4.5	0.19	6.88	1.75	0.08	13.1	Clear
G105	03/18/03	12:12 / 12:47	6.84	7	0.20	6.97	1.04	5.05	11.0	Clear
G106	03/19/03	8:08 / 8:38	6.39	6.5	0.22	6.79	1.380	4.22	11.5	Clear
G107	03/19/03	11:48 / 12:08	6.57	3 (dry)	0.15	6.61	1.330	0.47	9.5	Clear
G109	03/18/03	8:37 / 9:10	5.62	6	0.18	7.12	0.353	4.59	10.7	Clear
TW5	06/20/03	14:23 / 14:25	1.00	0.5 (dry)		NM	NM	NM	NM	NR
TW6	06/20/03	14:34 / 14:36	1.00	1	0.50	3.75	0.756	19.80	14.4	Clear
TW7	06/20/03	15:13 / 15:15	0.72	0.5 (dry)	 .	NM	NM	NM	NM	NR

umhos/cm = micromohs per centimeter
mg/L = milligrams per liter
C = Centigrade
NR - Not Recorded
NM - Not Measured